5. **Accessing Services: Early Intervention Services for Children Ages 0-3**

   a. Early Childhood Intervention Roadmap
   b. OSSE: Early Childhood Transition Policy
   c. OSSE: Part B Initial Evaluation Re-Evaluation Policy
   d. OSSE: Early Childhood Landscape in DC Fact Sheet (July 2009)
   e. OSSE: Early Intervention Program FAQ (June 2011)
   f. OSSE Extended IFSP Option Policy (April 2014)
Strong Start Road Map

A Guide through the DC Early Intervention Program

Strong Start is the District’s Early Intervention Program for families with children under age three who are concerned about their child’s development. Keep this “Road Map” handy to remind you of the steps for each phase. This is a voluntary program and you may withdraw at any time.

1. Referral
   - Referral source or parent suspects child of having developmental delay or disability and contacts Strong Start
   - Strong Start contacts parent, informs them about program and assigns Initial Service Coordinator (ISC)

2. Initial Service Coordinator
   - Provides information about Strong Start
   - Informs family of rights
   - Refers family to an evaluation site

3. The Evaluation
   - Determines eligibility
   - Conducts family assessment (optional)
   - Gathers information for Individualized Family Services Plan (IFSP)

4. IFSP Meeting (If child is eligible)
   - Family identifies desired outcomes
   - IFSP team specifies early intervention services and develops written plan
   - Family agrees to services
   - Family meets Dedicated Service Coordinator (DSC)

5. Early Intervention Services*
   - Assistive technology devices and services
   - Audiology
   - Vision services
   - Family training, counseling, home visits and parent support groups
   - Medical services only for diagnostic or evaluation purposes
   - Nursing services
   - Health services
   - Occupational therapy
   - Physical therapy
   - Psychological services
   - Service coordination
   - Social work services
   - Special instruction
   - Speech-language pathology
   - Transportation and related costs

6. IFSP Review (Six Months/Evaluate Annually)
   - IFSP team makes decision to continue, add, modify or delete strategies, outcomes, and/or services based on ongoing assessments
   - If parent requests, review may happen sooner
   - Child may exit Strong Start based on assessment results

7. Transition
   - Plans for transition included in IFSP
   - Planning begins between ages 2 years 6 months and 2 years 9 months
   - Strong Start services end on child’s 3rd birthday

*Areas of Development
- Cognitive
- Physical (including vision and hearing)
- Communication
- Social/Emotional
- Adaptive development

Don’t wait and wonder.
If your child is younger than three and you have questions, call 202-727-3665 or visit www.strongstartdc.com.

Parental/guardian’s consent required for the evaluation, IFSP, provision of services in IFSP, and transition.
March 22, 2010

TO: Chancellor, District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS)
Public Charter School Board
Public Charter School Directors
Principals, DCPS
Early Stages, DCPS

FROM: Kerri L. Briggs, PhD
State Superintendent of Education

RE: Early Childhood Transition Policy

CONTACTS: Amy Maisterra, Chief of Staff
Department of Special Education
Email: amy.maisterra@dc.gov
(202) 481-3757

OR
Jerri Johnston-Stewart, Early Intervention Program Manager
Department of Special Education
Email: Jerri.Johnston-Stewart@dc.gov
(202) 727-5853

This Memorandum serves to clarify what is required of all Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) regarding early childhood transition requirements as required in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) of 2004 and its implementing federal regulations. This Memorandum supersedes all previous policy, memoranda and/or guidance promulgated by the state education agency. This policy takes effect on March 22, 2010.

1 This Memorandum is not intended to be a restatement of the requirements of the IDEA and the District of Columbia Code of Municipal Regulations (DCMR) in regard to early childhood transition. LEAs are responsible for knowing and implementing the requirements of IDEA and the DCMR applicable to LEAs.
Background
The IDEA Part C requires each State to designate a lead agency under Part C who is responsible for ensuring a smooth transition from the Part C (services to children with disabilities, birth through age two) program to a preschool or another appropriate program delivering services to a child with early intervention service needs. The Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) is the lead agency for implementing Part C in the District of Columbia. The DC Early Intervention Program (DC EIP) within the OSSE’s Department of Special Education is responsible for assisting children participating in early intervention programs under Part C in experiencing a smooth and effective transition to IDEA Part B (special education services to children with disabilities, age three through 21) programs.

DC EIP must have policies and procedures in effect to ensure that LEAs are invited to participate in the collaborative process required to transition eligible children from Part C early intervention services to Part B special education preschool or other programs with appropriate services. LEAs must respond to the Part C invitation to participate in the transition planning conference and must participate, when appropriate, with DC EIP in planning conferences. The conference invitation serves as notice to the LEA that a child will shortly reach the age of three years old, which is the age of eligibility for preschool services under Part B. Further, with parental approval and consistent with the Early Childhood Transition Process from Part C to Part B section of this policy, LEAs must determine Part B eligibility and develop an Individualized Education Program (IEP) to implement by each eligible child’s third birthday.

The District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS), as the only LEA in the District of Columbia with geographic boundaries as defined in IDEA, is responsible for placement of children eligible for Part B special education services in preschool programs within DCPS. These responsibilities are carried out by DCPS Early STAGES. Charter schools that elect DCPS for the purposes of special education must follow DCPS’s early childhood transition policies and procedures. A LEA charter school that has elected to be its own LEA for special education purposes (LEA Charter) is accountable for all LEA transition responsibilities for children enrolled in their preschool programs. Thus, if a child is enrolled in a LEA Charter, the LEA Charter must fulfill the same responsibilities DCPS Early STAGES would have fulfilled for that child. This includes, but is not limited to, participating in the Part C transition conference, conducting the Part B eligibility

---

2 "Through age two" means until a child’s third birthday.
3 20 U.S.C. §1437(a)(9)(A) (ii)(I); 34 C.F.R. §303.148(b)(1)
4 34 C.F.R. §300.124
meeting, and developing an IEP if the child is determined eligible for Part B special education and related services.

Key Terms and Concepts

- **Child Find**: DC EIP, with the advice and assistance of the State Interagency Coordinating Council (SICC), is responsible for coordinating the planning and implementation of child find activities for children from birth through age two to ensure that a comprehensive system is in place to identify, locate, and evaluate infants and toddlers who are eligible to receive Part C early intervention services in the District of Columbia. The District must have in place a comprehensive child find system for children from birth through age 21, as required in IDEA, its implementing federal regulations, the District of Columbia Code, and Title 5 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations. With regard to Part C of the IDEA, the OSSE, as the State Educational Agency (SEA) and lead agency for the Part C Early Intervention Program for Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities under IDEA, is responsible for child find activities for infants and toddlers from birth through age two. With regard to Part B of the IDEA, each LEA must have in place policies and procedures to ensure all children with disabilities and who are in need of special education and related services are identified, located, and evaluated. This includes all children ages three through 21 who are residents of the District: all children who attend public or private schools, are home schooled or are wards of the District.

- **Early Childhood Transition Process**: A carefully planned, proactive, outcome-oriented process initiated by DC EIP, which collaborates with the family, LEAs, and at the parents request, other program representatives to develop a plan to move children with disabilities to an appropriate program that meets their unique needs by the time they turn three years old and age out of the DC EIP.

- **Individualized Education Program (IEP)**: An IEP is a written statement for each child determined to have a disability and be eligible for Part B special education and related services that is developed, reviewed, and revised by an appropriately assembled IEP Team.

---

5 34 C.F.R. §§303.7 and 303.16. As used in this policy, “children” shall mean infants and toddlers with disabilities, ages birth through two, unless otherwise indicated.

6 34 C.F.R. §§303.320 and 303.321
• **Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP):** An IFSP is a multidisciplinary written plan for providing early intervention services to an eligible child and the child’s family.\(^7\)

• **Part B Transition Coordinator:** Each LEA that anticipates enrolling preschool-aged children must designate an onsite staff person to participate in transition activities and serve as the primary contact person for other agencies involved with the early childhood transition process.\(^8\)

• **Part C Service Coordinator:** The DC EIP service coordinator is responsible for the implementation and coordination of the IFSP and early intervention services.

• **Transition Plan:** The steps, identified and documented on the IFSP, to be taken to initiate and support the transition of the child upon reaching the age of three to the preschool services under Part B of IDEA or to other community services, as may be appropriate or based on family preference.

**Early Childhood Transition Process from Part C to Part B**

Each LEA is responsible for ensuring that children enrolled in their program, and previously receiving Part C early intervention services, experience smooth transitions to preschool either within the LEA or at other appropriate program under Part B. To assist with the transition process, the LEA must designate a transition coordinator who is responsible for participating in the child’s DC EIP transition conference and subsequent Part B eligibility process. The transition coordinator’s primary goal is to ensure that eligibility for Part B services is determined, and that if warranted, an IEP is developed for delivery of Part B special education and related services and implemented by the child’s third birthday. The child’s IFSP must include the steps to be taken to support the transition of the child.\(^9\) It is the expectation of OSSE that Part C service coordinators will discuss with parents the referral process to Part B, if appropriate, at age two.

Because Part C eligibility is more restrictive than Part B eligibility in the District of Columbia, it is likely that many Part C children may also be eligible for Part B preschool services. Therefore, OSSE requires that transition planning occur for all children who are receiving Part C services and are approaching their third birthday.

\(^7\) 34 C.F.R. §303.340

\(^8\) The primary contact person’s information must be made available and easily accessible through multiple formats.

\(^9\) 34 C.F.R. §303.344(h)
The following steps outline the early childhood transition process:

1. **IFSP Meeting to Plan Transition Steps.** After a child turns two years old, planning for transition should begin. The DC EIP service coordinator must convene an IFSP meeting with the parent(s) to develop and document steps to be taken to support the transition of the child to preschool services under Part B or other services that may be available, to the extent that the services are appropriate. The IFSP meeting must include discussions with, and training of, parents on potential future placements and other transition matters. Before the transition conference, the DC EIP service coordinator may encourage the parents to visit the possible future placements. The IFSP meeting should also include a discussion of the procedures to prepare the child for changes in service delivery, including steps to help the child adjust to, and function in, a new setting. The IFSP must include a description of the appropriate transition services for the child. To facilitate a smooth transition process, a statement regarding timelines for transition activities must be included in the IFSP that includes the activities and the responsible participating agencies who will be carrying out such activities. LEAs may participate in any IFSP meetings that address transition planning by mutual agreement of the parent and DC EIP. However, the LEA’s participation in a formal transition conference, as described in the next section, is mandatory (with approval from the family).

2. **Transition Conference.** With the approval from the family of the child, the DC EIP service coordinator and LEA participate in a transition conference with the family not more than nine months and not less than 90 days before the child turns three years of age. The DC EIP service coordinator is responsible for completing the following steps to initiate the transition conference and support collaboration between the parent(s) and LEA responsible for Part B services.

---

10 34 C.F.R. §303.344(h)(1)
11 34 C.F.R. §303.344(h)(2)
12 20 U.S.C. §1436(a)(3)
13 The LEA will be included in this initial meeting if the parent has previously consented to sharing the child’s service information with the LEA.
15 For sake of efficiency, the Part C coordinator may complete more than one step per interaction; for example, parental approval for the transition conference and parental consent for the release of the child’s information may both occur at the same IFSP meeting.
• Obtain parental approval to hold a transition conference with the LEA;

• If DC EIP has not already obtained parental consent for the release of information about the child to the LEA to ensure continuity of services, including, but not limited to, evaluation and assessment information and copies of IFSPs that have been developed and implemented, DC EIP should request consent at this time;

• Notify the LEA for the area in which the child resides, and

• Invite the LEA’s Part B transition coordinator to participate in the transition conference.

If the child is NOT going to be referred to a LEA/community based preschool program for Part B services, a transition conference is still required to write or review the IFSP to include activities to connect the family with community resources and programs.

3. Eligibility Determination for Part B Services. Each LEA must complete an initial evaluation before determining that a child has a disability. All LEAs must follow the District of Columbia initial evaluation/reevaluation policies and procedures for Part B. Consistent with those policies and procedures, when appropriate and with parental consent, LEAs shall consider and use as part of the evaluation process relevant DC EIP documentation, including, but not limited to, IFSPs,

---

16 34 C.F.R. §303.344 (h)(2)(iii)
17 34 C.F.R. §303.460(a). DC EIP and the LEA shall ensure the protection of any personally, identifiable information collected, used, or maintained under Part C, including the right of parents to written notice of and written consent to the exchange of this information among DC EIP and the LEA.
18 34 C.F.R. §303.148(a) and (b)(1). As explained above, charter schools that elect DCPS for the purposes of special education must follow DCPS’s early childhood transition policies and procedures, unless the parent decides to enroll a child in a LEA charter school. LEA charters must assume all LEA transition responsibilities for children enrolled in their preschool programs. Therefore, DCPS is responsible for all Part B transition activities outlined in this policy for the District of Columbia, with the exception of the few children enrolled in LEA charter school preschools.
19 34 C.F.R. §300.124
20 5 D.C.M.R. §5-3005
assessments, and evaluations when determining if a child is eligible for special education under Part B. Additional assessments should be administered only when required information is not available or available information is no longer current.

4. **Initial IEP Meeting.** Upon determining a child's eligibility, each LEA must schedule an initial IEP meeting\(^ {21}\) to develop an appropriate IEP. Parents must be informed by the LEA that DC EIP service coordinators or other representatives of the Part C system may be invited to the IEP meeting. At the request of a parent, a LEA must send a meeting invitation to the appropriate DC EIP service coordinator or other representatives of the Part C system to assist with the smooth transition of services.\(^ {22}\)

5. **Implementation of the IEP.** The obligation to make a free appropriate public education (FAPE) available to each eligible child begins no later than the child's third birthday;\(^ {23}\) and an IEP must be in effect for the child by that date.\(^ {24}\) LEAs can place children in programs at any point during the school year. If a child's third birthday occurs during the summer, the IEP team shall determine the date when services under the IEP begin, including determining whether a child qualifies for Extended School Year (ESY).\(^ {25}\) If the child does not qualify for ESY, the LEA must review the child's program options and provide the family information about community resources that may be available to support their child's developmental needs.\(^ {26}\) A child entering an LEA in the late spring/summer cannot be denied ESY solely because he/she has just entered the LEA.

Eligibility for ESY is determined by the IEP team. To make this determination, the IEP team must review relevant information, such as data on regression and recoupment, emerging skills, movement toward acquiring critical skills, and behavioral concerns. If the child is eligible for ESY, the IEP team must develop an IEP based on the IFSP that will go into effect during the summer.

\(^ {21}\) 34 C.F.R. §300.323(c)  
\(^ {22}\) 34 C.F.R. §300.321(f)  
\(^ {23}\) 34 C.F.R. §300.101(a)  
\(^ {24}\) 34 C.F.R. §300.101(b)  
\(^ {25}\) 34 C.F.R. §300.101(b)(2)  
\(^ {26}\) 20 U.S.C. §1437(a)(9)(B); 34 C.F.R. §303.148(b)(3)
Late Referrals/Timeline Exception
For any child referred to DC EIP between 45 and 90 days before his/her third birthday, the service coordinator will solicit consent from the parent to contact the LEA for the area in which the child resides and refer the child to Part B for evaluation and eligibility determination. The LEA may serve a child eligible for Part B special education and related services at age two during the school year he/she turns three years of age and must develop an IEP to ensure appropriate supports and services are implemented by the child’s third birthday.

LEA to LEA Transfer
Regardless of the timing of a child’s enrollment, the receiving LEA is responsible for providing a FAPE\textsuperscript{27} to the child upon his or her enrollment, including the delivery of services comparable to those described in the child’s most current IEP from the previous public agency or LEA, until the receiving LEA either (1) adopts the child’s preexisting IEP from the previous public agency, or (2) develops and implements a new IEP.\textsuperscript{28} If the receiving LEA does not agree with the existing IEP and/or wants to conduct its own evaluation, it must hold an IEP meeting within a reasonable amount of time to ensure that the child continues to receive appropriate special education and related services in the least restrictive environment. The LEA must provide the special education and related services as prescribed in the current IEP until a new IEP is developed.

Non-Eligibility for Part B Services
If a child is determined not to be eligible for Part B preschool services, with the approval of the family, reasonable efforts must be made to convene a meeting with the DC EIP service coordinator, the family, and service providers to discuss other appropriate programs available to the child.\textsuperscript{29} If a parent does not agree with the eligibility determination, the parent may pursue his/her rights, as defined under the Procedural Safeguards Notice.

Reporting Requirements
Each LEA must collect and report to the OSSE accurate, reliable and timely data. Specific data elements include:

- The date the LEA was notified for children exiting early intervention services who are potentially eligible for Part B preschool services;
- The date of the transition conference and reason(s) for delay, if untimely;

\textsuperscript{27} 34 C.F.R. §300.323(e)
\textsuperscript{28} The requirements for IEPs for students who transfer from one public agency to another public agency within the same school year are found in the regulations at 34 C.F.R. §§300.323(e) and (g). The new IEP must meet the applicable requirements in 34 C.F.R. §§300.320 through 300.324.
\textsuperscript{29} 20 U.S.C. §1437(a)(9)(A)(ii)(III)
- The number of late referrals;
- Delays related to obtaining consent for initial evaluation;
- The dates of consent for evaluations and dates the evaluations were completed;
- Documentation related to dates on which IEPs were developed, implemented, and reviewed;
- Number of days after age three that services begin and/or the reasons for delay, including parental refusal; and
- Data related to early childhood outcomes upon program entry, defined as within the first 90 days, and exit, defined as 60 days prior to leaving the program.

Additional Guidance
Please direct any questions regarding the content of this policy to Amy Maisterra, Ed.D., Chief of Staff, Department of Special Education, at: (202) 481-3757, or by email at: Amy.Maisterra@dc.gov, or Jerri Johnston-Stewart, Early Intervention Program Manager, Department of Special Education, at: (202) 727-5853, or by email: at Jerri.Johnston-Stewart@dc.gov.

The OSSE has the authority as the SEA to issue additional guidance regarding policy and related practice implementation to ensure that all LEAs are complying with the law in providing transition services to all eligible students with disabilities in the District of Columbia.
March 22, 2010

ACTION REQUIRED
INFORMATIONAL

TO: Chancellor, District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS)
   Public Charter School Board
   Public Charter School Directors
   Principals, DCPS

FROM: Kerri L. Briggs, PhD
      State Superintendent of Education

RE: Part B Initial Evaluation/Reevaluation Policy

CONTACT: Amy Maisterra, Chief of Staff
         Department of Special Education
         Email: amy.maisterra@dc.gov
         (202) 481-3757

This Memorandum serves to clarify what is required of all Local Education Agencies (LEAs) regarding the Part B Evaluation/Reevaluation and eligibility determination requirements of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). This Memorandum supersedes all previous policy, memoranda and/or guidance promulgated by the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE). This policy takes effect on March 22, 2010.

I. BACKGROUND
The IDEA contains specific requirements regarding the obligations of all LEAs to ensure that children in need of special education and related services are appropriately identified and served. This Memorandum addresses these obligations as they pertain to Part B evaluation, determination of eligibility, and the reevaluation process. Specifically, the Memorandum serves to:

---

1 This Memorandum is not intended to be a restatement of the requirements of the IDEA and the District of Columbia Code of Municipal Regulations (DCMCR) in regard to initial evaluations and reevaluations. LEAs are responsible for knowing and implementing the requirements of IDEA and the DCMCR applicable to LEAs.
- Clarify the concepts of eligibility, evaluation and assessment, including the distinction between formal and informal assessment;
- Clarify the timeline requirements for initial evaluation and reevaluation;
- Clarify the Federal rules governing parental consent as altered by amendments to the IDEA Federal Regulations that were issued in December, 2008; and
- Establish clear criteria for each disability category that are aligned with Federal law and DC Municipal Regulations (DCMR), ensuring that the new requirements for the identification of children with Specific Learning Disabilities are incorporated.

II. KEY CONCEPTS
Most key terms have specific meanings assigned by IDEA and/or DCMR 5-3001. Other terms have the meanings set by this policy.

Assessment. Assessment is defined in DCMR\textsuperscript{2} as a data collection procedure to examine a particular area of need in accordance with the rules in IDEA and DCMR. This procedure must be used by a group of qualified professionals\textsuperscript{3} to determine a child's educational needs and eligibility for special education and related services. An initial evaluation involves the use of a variety of assessment tools and strategies to 1) gather relevant functional, developmental and academic information to assist in determining if the child is eligible for special education and related services; and 2) inform the content of the IEP. To better facilitate the evaluation process, OSSE draws a distinction between formal and informal assessments and provides clarification below on how to utilize data driven information to determine eligibility and identify the educational needs of the child.

Formal Assessment. A formal assessment is a standardized test that measures overall student achievement that has been "normed," or administered to a representative sample. The mathematically computed score provides a summary using percentile, stanines, or standard scores to compare children of similar characteristics and indicate the child's relative performance in the group. Formal assessments must be administered by a qualified, trained professional, as specified by the producer of the assessment, to ensure valid and reliable results. One type of formal assessment is a medical assessment. If a formal assessment is not conducted under standard conditions, a description of the extent to which the assessment varied from standard conditions shall be included in the evaluation report.

\begin{footnotesize}
\footnotetext{2}{S D.C.M.R. §3001.1}
\footnotetext{3}{In accordance with S D.C.M.R. §3005.3 the group of qualified professionals must be consistent with the IEP team members identified in S D.C.M.R. §3003.}
\end{footnotesize}
Informal Assessment. An informal assessment is what teachers, related service providers or other educators use to find out how well a child is progressing in core academic, behavioral and/or functional areas. Informal assessment procedures may include, but are not limited to, scientific, research-based interventions, classroom activities such as group or individual projects, experiments, oral presentations, journals, essays, reports, anecdotal records, observations, reading and behavior logs or checklists. Curriculum-Based Measurement (CBM) is the best known method of progress monitoring that utilizes informal assessments to determine the success of the instruction and intervention the child is receiving.

The distinction between a formal or informal assessment does not determine whether a Prior Written Notice (PWN) or parental consent is required. If the assessment is conducted after a child is suspected of having a disability and is referred for an evaluation under the IDEA, then a PWN and parental consent are required. If the assessment is conducted before a child is suspected of having a disability, as part of a screening process, then a PWN and parental consent are not required.

Screening. For children age 3 through 21, the LEA should have in place a process to monitor academic progress of its students that includes but is not limited to: (a) observations in a variety of settings; (b) a multi-tiered problem solving approach; (c) parent/family communications; and (d) a review of attendance and grades. Screenings must be available for children in public schools, private schools, or for children who are home-schooled or who are wards of the District; and they must include the regular review of pertinent information and communication to parents regarding whether:

- Appropriate instruction in the general education setting is being delivered by qualified personnel;
- Instruction and interventions are provided at varying intensity levels; and
- Progress monitoring data are collected which reflected the child’s progress during instruction.

Eligibility. A child is eligible for special education and related services if he/she meets the definition of “child with a disability” under the IDEA and DCMR 5-3001. This determination is premised upon whether the child has one of the designated disabilities under the IDEA and the DCMR and, as a result of that disability, requires special education and related services. To make this determination, a team consisting of a group of qualified professionals and the
parent⁴ must consider all reports of assessment procedures, including a review of informal and formal assessments, parent information, health records, and other independent evaluations. An LEA may not identify any child as a child with a disability if the determinant factor is a lack of appropriate instruction in reading and math and/or limited English proficiency and the child does not otherwise meet the eligibility criteria.⁵

**Evaluation.** An evaluation is a process consisting of a set of procedures and/or assessments used in accordance with the IDEA and DCMR to determine 1) whether a child has a disability, and if so, 2) the nature and extent of the special education and related services that the child needs.⁶ Each LEA is responsible for conducting a full and individualized initial evaluation, at no cost to the parent, before determining whether a child is a child with a disability.

Under IDEA, the evaluation must use “a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental, and academic information about the child, including information provided by the parent...”⁷ Assessment tools and strategies may include, but are not limited to, observations, interviews, behavior checklists, criterion-referenced and norm referenced instruments, and tests of basic concepts or other techniques and procedures as deemed appropriate by the professional(s) conducting the evaluation. The term evaluation does not refer to a single testing procedure or report. Once a child has been determined eligible in the District of Columbia under the IDEA, any further evaluation process, including evaluation in a new area of suspected disability, constitutes a reevaluation.

Each LEA must ensure that assessments are selected and administered so as not to be discriminatory on a racial or cultural basis. Furthermore, all assessments must be administered in the child’s native language. Native language, in the context of the evaluation of the child, is defined as the language normally used by the child in the home or learning environment (not by the parent, if there is a difference between the two). For example, if a child’s primary mode of communication is sign language, Braille, or oral communication, the evaluation must utilize that modality.

---

⁴ In accordance with 5 D.C.M.R. §3005.3 the group of qualified professionals must be consistent with the IEP team members identified in 5 D.C.M.R. §3003.
⁵ 34 C.F.R. §300.306(b)
⁶ 34 C.F.R. §300.301 et seq; 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.
⁷ 34 C.F.R. §300.304(b)
Parental Consent. Parental consent is defined as follows:

1. The parent has been fully informed of all information relevant to the activity for which consent is being sought, in his or her native language, or other mode of communication.

2. The parent understands and agrees in writing to the proposed activities for which his or her consent is being requested. When an LEA is seeking informed consent, the notice must describe the activities and lists the records, if any, that will be released and to whom.

3. The parent understands that providing consent is voluntary and may be revoked at any time.

Types of Assessments. Both formal and informal assessments, and previously available screenings, are expected to be used to assist the group of qualified professionals in determining eligibility and special education and related services. During the evaluation, the group of qualified professionals may utilize, if appropriate, a combination of assessments, including, but not limited to, the assessments identified below. Appropriateness should be based on ensuring that sufficient comprehensive evidence is available to accurately identify strengths and weaknesses of the child and determine if a child is a child with a disability.

Adaptive Behavior Assessment. The adaptive behavior assessment provides a measure of adaptive skills required for success in educational settings. The assessment refers primarily to the effectiveness with which the child generally meets the standards of personal independence and social responsibility expected of his or her age and cultural group. The evaluation has two major components:

- The extent to which the child is able to function independently; and
- The extent to which the child can interact successfully across varied social settings. Assessments of adaptive behavior look at the total environment of the child. Adaptive behavior information should be obtained from two sources, one of which must be the child’s parent. If the child’s parent is unavailable, the LEA may solicit information from a family member. Additionally, the LEA must obtain input from non-family members close to the child, such as members in the community, mentors, or coaches. An LEA must obtain consent from the parent before contacting either family members other than the parent or individuals who are close to the child.
Audiological Assessment. An audiological assessment may include as appropriate the following: case history, otoscopy, air and bone conduction testing, speech reception/discrimination testing and impedance audiometry. Additional testing may be required including but not limited to Otoacoustic emissions (OAE) and auditory brainstem response (ABR) testing. The evaluation identifies the type and degree of hearing loss and its likely effect on academic learning.

Auditory Processing Assessment. Auditory processing testing is a series of specialized tests designed to assess the various functions of the brain, specifically auditory sensitivity, auditory extraction, auditory attention, auditory distractibility, auditory memory, auditory integration, and organization and sequencing. Testing is performed in a sound proof room by an audiologist. These test results must be considered in conjunction with other assessment areas including but not limited to hearing acuity, speech and language, and cognition (Full scale IQ should be 80 or above). A variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental, and academic information should be used. Most of the tests of Auditory Processing Disorder (APD) require that a child be at least 7 or 8 years of age because the variability in brain function is so marked in younger children that test interpretation may not be possible.

Developmental Assessment. A developmental assessment includes an in-depth assessment of overall functioning. Areas to be assessed may include as appropriate: social/emotional- interaction with peers and/or adults and the ability to follow directions; adaptive- toileting, dressing, feeding, and attending to tasks; gross motor-large motor movements such as running, jumping, and skipping; fine motor- small motor movements such as cutting, drawing, and stringing beads; communication- ability to understand spoken language, express wants and needs clearly, and follow directions; and pre-academic skills- early learning skills such as matching, memory skills, counting, and naming colors.

Health Screening. Health screening may include, but is not necessarily limited to, as many of the following areas as may be appropriate: vision screening, hearing screening, dental screening, review of health history, review of developmental milestones, assessment of physical growth and assessment of nutritional status.

Motor/Occupational/Physical Screening. These screenings are for diagnostic or evaluation purposes. They are provided by a licensed physician to assist the LEA in determining a child's medically related disability that results in the child's need for special education and related services. Motor/occupational/physical screening may
include, when appropriate, a review of written and verbal information, observation of the child in a variety of settings, and/or the administration of screening instruments to determine adequacy of motor skills functioning and need for further evaluation.

**Motor/Occupational Therapy/Physical Assessments.** A motor assessment obtains information that assists in assessing a child's current level of motor skill functioning and any problems encountered in performing motor tasks. This information may be collected through a review of educational and medical records; interviews with teachers, parent(s), and others, including the child; clinical observations; and the administration of formal testing instruments, procedures, and techniques. A motor assessment should include, but is not limited to, the following areas as appropriate:

- musculo-skeletal status;
- neuromotor/neurodevelopmental status;
- gross-motor development and coordination;
- fine-motor development and coordination;
- sensory-motor skills;
- visual-motor skills;
- bilateral coordination;
- postural control and balance skills;
- praxis/motor planning skills;
- oral-motor skills; and
- gait and functional mobility skills.

**Observation.** Student observations usually occur in the regular classroom and/or settings related to the area(s) of concern, and must document areas of strength as well as areas of need. As appropriate, observations must assess academic skills and functional skills, including behavior. If an observation is conducted, it is recommended that at least one member of the team other than the child's regular teacher observes the child’s academic performance in the regular classroom setting.\(^8\)

**Psychological Assessment.** The psychological assessment may include an assessment of cognitive functioning as is appropriate based on the referral question. The assessment should include an assessment of cognitive functioning and may also include, but not be limited to, assessments of educational performance, social/emotional/behavioral functioning, and adaptive behavior. Procedures used by the psychologist may include

\(^8\) S.D.C.M.R. §3005.10
formal and informal assessment measures, observations, interviews, and other techniques as deemed appropriate by the psychologist. The assessment of cognition may address areas such as intelligence, memory, reasoning, problem solving, attending, and processing. Where these instruments would not be valid and reliable for a particular purpose, the psychologist should use his or her professional judgment about the selection of instruments for assessing the intellectual functioning of children.

**Social History.** A social history assessment documents normal and abnormal developmental and/or medical events and may include a review of information gathered during the evaluation process. For preschool children, a social history must include an assessment of family composition, support systems, stressors, and environment as they correlate with the child’s need or special services. The history also may include the family’s or caregiver’s perspective about the child and the need for special education services.

**Speech-Language Screening and/or Evaluation.** A speech-language evaluation may include, as appropriate, the following aspects of speech-language: articulation, fluency, voice, and language (form, content, and function, and pragmatics). A screening of areas including hearing, articulation, language, voice, and fluency should also be completed during every evaluation. A variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental, and academic information should be used. Assessment instruments may include interviews; curriculum-based, authentic, dynamic assessment tools; norm referenced tests; or criterion referenced tests. For a child to be considered for intervention, the child’s speech, language, voice, or fluency must be determined to have a negative impact on academic achievement and functional performance.

**Evaluation Summary Report.** Upon completion of appropriate evaluation procedures, the LEA must, with input from a group of qualified professionals and the parent, prepare a comprehensive written report to be provided at no cost to the parent. The report must include a description of the child’s performance in each area evaluated, including specific strengths and weaknesses.

**Extended School Year Services.** These services are defined as special education and related services that are provided to a child with a disability beyond the normal school year, are in
accordance with a child's IEP, are provided at no cost to the parents of the child, and meet the standards of the SEA.\textsuperscript{10}

**Independent Educational Evaluation.** An independent educational evaluation (IEE) may be obtained by the parent of a child suspected as having a disability or an adult child with a disability. The IEE may not be performed by individual(s) who are employed by the LEA and responsible for the education of the child. The individual(s) performing an IEE must meet state and local standards to conduct the examination. The parent has the right to request an IEE, at public expense, if the parent disagrees with the evaluation obtained by the LEA subject to the conditions in the IDEA.\textsuperscript{11} A parent is entitled to only one IEE at public expense each time the public agency conducts an evaluation with which the parent disagrees.\textsuperscript{12} If a parent requests an IEE of the child, the LEA, without unnecessary delay, must take one of the following actions:

1. Initiate a due process hearing to show that its evaluation is appropriate and hence, that an IEE is not warranted; or
2. Provide information to the parent about where an IEE may be obtained and the LEA’s criteria prescribed under this subsection that apply to the IEE. If the LEA chooses this course, it must:
   a. pay the full cost of the IEE or otherwise ensure that the evaluation is provided at no cost to the parent; or
   b. initiate a due process hearing to show that the evaluation obtained by the parent does not meet agency criteria.

If a parent requests an IEE, the LEA may ask the reason for the objection to the public evaluation. However, an explanation by the parent is not required, and the LEA cannot unreasonably delay either providing the IEE at public expense or initiating a due process hearing to defend the LEA’s evaluation. If the LEA initiates a hearing and the final decision is that the LEA’s evaluation is appropriate, the parent must still have the right to an IEE, but the LEA is not required to pay the cost of that evaluation. If the parent obtains an IEE at public expense or provides the LEA with an evaluation obtained at private expense, the results of the evaluation must be considered by the LEA in any decision made with respect to the provision of FAPE to the child if the evaluation meets the LEA’s criteria. If a hearing officer requests an IEE as part of a hearing, the cost of the evaluation shall be paid by the LEA.

\textsuperscript{10} 34 C.F.R. §300.106(b)(1) and (b)(2)
\textsuperscript{11} 34 C.F.R. §300.502(b)(1)
\textsuperscript{12} 34 C.F.R. §300.502(b)(5)
Each LEA must have in place a policy and procedure for obtaining an IEE at public expense. The policy and procedure may include the qualifications of the examiner and the location of the evaluation, but shall not impose other conditions or timelines for obtaining the evaluation. The criteria for IEEs must be the same as the criteria that the LEA uses when it conducts and completes an evaluation, to the extent that those criteria are consistent with the parent's right to obtain an IEE.

**Parent Participation.** LEAs must allow the parent the opportunity to be members of any decision making team for his or her child, including initial evaluation and reevaluation, eligibility, and development of an individualized education program (IEP) for the provision of a Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) and placement. Parents are to be provided notice of meetings (consistent with Subsection V. Eligibility/IEP Team Meeting) to ensure that they have the opportunity to participate in the meetings.

The LEA must make reasonable efforts to ensure that the parent understands and has the opportunity to participate in meetings, including arranging for an interpreter for parents with deafness, or for parents whose native language is not English. The parent and the school may agree to use alternative means of meeting participation, such as video conferences or conference calls. These meeting requirements do not apply to informal or unscheduled conversations of school personnel on issues such as teaching methodology, lesson plans, or coordination of service provision. A meeting also does not include preparatory activities in which LEA personnel engage to develop a proposal or response to a parent’s proposal that will be discussed at a later meeting.

**Prior Written Notice.** A PWN must be provided to the parent within a reasonable amount of time before the date the LEA proposes or refuses to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, educational placement, or the provision of FAPE. The PWN must include:

- A description of the action proposed or refused by the LEA;
- An explanation of why the agency proposes or refuses to take the action;
- A description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report the agency used as a basis for the proposed or refused action;
- A description of other options considered, if any, and the reason for rejecting them;
- A description of other factors related to the proposal or refusal;

---

13 34 C.F.R. §300.322(e) and §300.328
14 34 C.F.R. §300.501(b)(3)
• A statement that the parent has protection under the procedural safeguards of IDEA Part B and, if this notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, the means by which a copy of the procedural safeguards can be obtained; and
• Sources for the parent to contact to obtain assistance in understanding the provisions of IDEA Part B and DCMR Chapter 30.  

The notice must be written in a language that is understandable to the general public and must be provided in the native language of the parent or other mode of communication used by the parent, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so. If the parent uses other modes of communication that are not a written language, the LEA must ensure that the notice is translated orally. Additionally, the LEA must have written evidence that the notice requirements have been met if the native language or other mode of communication is not a written language.  

**Procedural Safeguards Notice.** To ensure that the parent has knowledge about his or her rights under the IDEA, LEAs are required to provide a copy of the Procedural Safeguards Notice (PSN) only one time during each school year and also in the following circumstances:

• Upon initial referral or parent request for an evaluation of the child;
• Upon receipt of the first State complaint filed by the parent in a school year;
• Upon receipt by an agency of the first due process complaint filed against it by the parent in a school year;
• Upon the parent’s child being subjected to disciplinary removal from the child’s current placement that constitutes a change of placement; and
• Any time, upon request of the parent.  

The PSN must be written in a language that is understandable to the general public and provided in the native language of the parent or other mode of communication used by the parent, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so. If the language or mode of communication is not a written language, the LEA must translate the notice orally or use another mode of communication so that the parent understands the content of the notice. The LEA must have written evidence that the notice requirements have been met if the native language or other mode of communication is not a written language. Parents may elect to receive the PSN by

---

15 34 C.F.R. §300.503(b)
16 34 C.F.R. §300.503(c)
17 34 C.F.R. §§300.151 through 300.153
18 34 C.F.R.§300.504
electronic mail communication, if the LEA makes that option available. If the notice is provided electronically, the LEA should have a copy of the email sent to the parent and documentation that the notice was received. The LEA may place a current copy of the PSN on its Internet web site if one exists. However, simply putting the notice on the LEA’s website does not fulfill a school’s obligation to provide the PSN to the parent.

Reevaluation. A reevaluation is defined as an evaluation conducted after the initial evaluation. It is the process by which the IEP team gathers data to determine if the child with a disability still has a disability, the present levels of academic achievement and related developmental needs of the child, whether the child continues to need special education and related services, and whether any additions or modifications to the special education and related services are necessary. As in the case of an initial evaluation, the IEP team may agree to determine eligibility without conducting additional assessments. Reevaluation is required before determining that a child is no longer eligible for special education and related services, unless the child is graduating with a regular high school diploma or aging out of the IDEA system. Reevaluation must occur at least once every three years, whether or not the child’s needs have changed, unless the parent and LEA agree that a reevaluation is unnecessary. Reevaluations may occur more frequently if conditions warrant a reevaluation or if the child’s parent or teacher requests a reevaluation. A reevaluation need not occur more than once a year, unless the parent and the LEA agree otherwise.

Referral. A referral is defined as written documentation provided by the child’s parent, or others defined by DCMR 3004.1, which clearly states why it is thought that the child may have a disability. The referral must be submitted to the building principal or designee of the child’s home school or public charter school on a form provided by the school at the time of the parent’s referral. A referral must be in writing and address specific academic or behavior concerns. Upon an oral referral for an initial evaluation from a parent, the LEA must provide assistance, as needed, in completing a written referral. The referral may come from a variety of sources which include:

- Early Childhood Screening
- Part C Infant-Toddler Program

---

19 34 C.F.R. §300.504(b), 300.505
20 34 C.F.R. §300.504(b)
21 34 C.F.R. §300.305(a)(2)
22 34 C.F.R. §300.305(e)
23 34 C.F.R. §300.303
• General Education Intervention Team (Individual Problem-Solving or Student Support Team)
• Parent
• Self-Referral by Adult Student
• Public Agency

A referral for an initial evaluation should be made when a child is suspected to be a child with a disability. In determining whether to propose to evaluate the child, the LEA should review a variety of educational and behavioral assessments, interventions and strategies provided to the child in the general education setting; behavioral observations; health records; and parent information. In accordance with DCMR Section 3004.1(e), a group of qualified professionals must meet following a referral to review:

1. Existing data;
2. Information from the parent;
3. Pre-referral interventions and strategies;
4. Current classroom-based assessments; and
5. Observations by teachers and related service providers.

Upon referral for an initial evaluation, regardless of the source, the LEA must provide the parent a copy of the PSN and a written notification of the referral (referral acknowledgment). Once the team makes the determination regarding whether the child is suspected to be a child with a disability, the LEA must provide a PWN to the parent that provides the LEA’s proposal or refusal to evaluate based on the suspicion that the child may be disabled and in need of special education and related services, or the absence of such suspicion. The above process must be completed in a reasonable time after receiving the referral (for more information see the PWN definition in the Key Concepts section of this memorandum).

**Review of Existing Data.** If the LEA’s PWN is a proposal to conduct the initial evaluation or a reevaluation for a child suspected of being a child with a disability, a group of qualified professionals, consisting of individuals prescribed in DCMR 3003.1, must, if appropriate, consider all data that are currently available. The purpose of reviewing existing data is to identify what additional data, if any, are needed to determine:

---

24 34 C.F.R. §300.301(b)
25 In accordance with 5 D.C.M.R. §3005.3 the group of qualified professionals must be consistent with the IEP team members identified in 5 D.C.M.R. §3003.
26 34 C.F.R. §300.504.
If the child is a child with a disability;
• Whether the child needs special education and related services;
• The educational needs of the child;
• The present levels of academic achievement and related developmental needs of the child; and
• Whether any additions or modifications to the special education and related services are needed to enable the child to meet the measurable goals set out in the IEP and to participate, as appropriate, in the general education curriculum.  

If the team determines that no additional data are needed to determine eligibility and to determine the child’s educational needs, the LEA must notify the parent, consistent with the PWN requirements, of that determination and of the reasons for the determination. The LEA must also inform the parent of his or her right to request an assessment to determine whether the child is a child with a disability and to determine the educational needs of the child. Furthermore, if the team determines that additional data are needed (formal or informal assessments), the LEA must complete these assessments in a timeframe that can ensure adherence to the 120 day overall evaluation timeline (discussed in Section III, Evaluation Timeline). The procedures used to collect data should be described on the PWN.

III. EVALUATION TIMELINE

Initial Evaluation. The LEA must complete an initial evaluation, including the determination of the eligibility, of a child suspected of having a disability within 120 calendar days of receiving the written referral. In order to meet this timeline, LEAs are encouraged to analyze existing data and proceed with additional assessments, if required, in a timely manner to determine whether a child has a disability. The LEA is not required to use the entire 120 days to complete the initial evaluation and determine eligibility and may proceed through the process in fewer than 120 days.

Exception. The 120 day timeframe does not apply to an LEA if:
• The parent of a child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation;
• The parent fails or refuses to respond to a request for consent for the evaluation; or
• A child enrolls in a school of another LEA after the 120 day timeline has begun, and prior to the determination by the child’s previous LEA as to whether the child is a

37 34 C.F.R. §300.305(a)(2)
child with a disability under this policy. This only applies if the subsequent LEA is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent LEA agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.\textsuperscript{28}

The LEA must document in the Special Education Data System (SEDS) its reasonable efforts to contact the parent regarding evaluation appointments, requests for consent, or its progress on completing the evaluation as outlined above. Reasonable efforts are defined as a minimum of three attempts and multiple modalities (e.g. phone and mailed correspondence) by the LEA.

**Reevaluation.** The LEA must hold a reevaluation meeting within three years of the date the previous initial evaluation or reevaluation was completed. The reevaluation meeting must be scheduled in time to allow the IEP team to conduct assessments, if necessary, and to reconvene within three years of the previous eligibility meeting. When appropriate, and for the convenience of both the parent and the LEA, the IEP team should attempt to consolidate the annual meeting and the reevaluation meeting.

**IV. CONSENT REQUIREMENTS**
The IDEA requires LEAs to obtain parental consent for an initial evaluation when the LEA suspects the child may be a child with a disability. The LEA is not required to obtain parental consent before taking either of the following actions:

1. Reviewing existing data as part of an evaluation or reevaluation, including the review of existing data for a functional behavioral assessment; or

2. Administering a test or other evaluation that is administered to all children, unless before administration of that test or evaluation, consent is required of the parents of all children.

In addition, the LEA is not required to obtain parental consent for the initial evaluation when the child is a ward of the State and is not residing with the child’s parent and the conditions under 34 C.F.R. 300.300(a)(2) are met.

Parental consent for a reevaluation does not need to be obtained if the public agency can demonstrate that it made reasonable efforts to obtain consent, and the child’s parent failed to

\textsuperscript{28} 34 C.F.R. §300.301(d) and (e)
respond.\textsuperscript{29} Reasonable efforts to obtain informed consent include a minimum of three attempts and multiple modalities (e.g. phone and mailed correspondence) by the LEA. Each attempt to inform the parent and obtain consent must be made in the parent’s native language or other mode of communication to qualify as reasonable efforts. To demonstrate reasonable efforts, the LEA must maintain a record of its attempts in the Special Education Data System (SEDS) as noted above.\textsuperscript{30}

A PWN must accompany the request for consent for each proposed special education action except in the following situations: consent to excuse an IEP team member from the IEP meeting and consent to invite an outside agency. Parental consent for initial evaluation must not be construed as consent for initial provision of special education and related services. In addition, if a parent revokes consent, that revocation is not retroactive (i.e., it does not negate an action that has occurred after the consent was given and before the consent was revoked).\textsuperscript{31}

**Failure to Respond or to Provide Consent**

*Initial Evaluation.* If the parent does not provide (or refuses) consent or fails to respond to a request to provide consent for an initial evaluation, the LEA may, but is not required to, pursue the initial evaluation by utilizing mediation or by requesting a due process hearing.\textsuperscript{32} The LEA does not violate its obligation for child find or for conducting an initial evaluation if it declines to pursue the evaluation.\textsuperscript{33}

The LEA is required to locate, identify, and evaluate children who are home schooled, but it is not required to provide services unless the child is enrolled in a public school. If the parent of a child who is home schooled or voluntarily placed in a private school by the parent does not provide consent for the initial evaluation or the reevaluation, or if the parent fails to respond to a request to provide consent, the LEA may not use mediation or due process procedures to obtain consent. In this case the LEA is not required to consider the child as eligible for services for parentally placed students in private schools.\textsuperscript{34}

*Reevaluation.* The LEA must make reasonable efforts to obtain parental informed written consent as required for initial evaluations; however, if the parent fails or refuses

\textsuperscript{29} 34 C.F.R. §300.300(c)(2)
\textsuperscript{30} 5 D.C.M.R. §3026.4
\textsuperscript{31} 34 C.F.R. §300.9
\textsuperscript{32} 34 C.F.R. §300.300(a)(3)(i)
\textsuperscript{33} 34 C.F.R. §300.300(a)(3)(ii)
\textsuperscript{34} 34 C.F.R. §300.300(d)(4)
to respond, the LEA may proceed with the reevaluation without obtaining parental consent, as long as it can demonstrate it made reasonable efforts to obtain consent. If the parent refuses to provide consent, the LEA may pursue the reevaluation through mediation or a due process hearing, but is not required to do so. An agency shall not use a parent’s refusal to consent to an activity or service to deny the parent or child other activities, benefits, or services offered by the agency.

**Initial Consent for Services.** If a parent refuses to provide consent or fails to respond after an LEA’s reasonable attempts to obtain informed written consent, the LEA may not use mediation or due process procedures to obtain consent for the initial provision of special education and related services. Furthermore, the LEA is not required to hold an IEP meeting or create an IEP and is not in violation of providing FAPE to the child.

**Parent’s Right to Revoke Consent.** The December 2008 IDEA regulations strengthen parental rights to unilaterally revoke consent to special education and related services. Specifically, regulations require that parental revocation of consent for the continued provision of special education and related services must be in writing and that upon revocation of consent, a LEA must provide the parent with prior written notice in accordance with 34 CFR Section 300.503. Additionally, if at any time subsequent to the initial provision of special education and related services, the parent of a child revokes consent in writing for the continued provision of special education and related services, the LEA:

1. May not continue to provide special education and related services to the child, but must provide prior written notice in accordance with 34 CFR Section 300.503 before ceasing the provision of special education and related services;

2. May not use the procedures in subpart E of the regulations (including the mediation procedures under 34 CFR Section 300.506 or the due process procedures under 34 CFR sections 300.507 through 300.516) in order to obtain agreement or a ruling that the services may be provided to the child;

---

35 34 C.F.R §300.300(c)(2)
36 34 C.F.R §300.300(c)(1)(i)
37 34 C.F.R §300.300(b)(3)
38 34 C.F.R §300.300(b)(4)(ii)
39 34 C.F.R §300.300(b)(4)(i)
40 34 C.F.R. §300.300(b)(4)
3. Will not be considered to be in violation of the requirement to make a free appropriate public education (FAPE) available to the child because of the failure to provide the child with further special education and related services;

4. Is not required to convene an individualized education program (IEP) team meeting or develop an IEP under 34 CFR sections 300.320 and 300.324 for the child for further provision of special education and related services; and

5. In accordance with 34 CFR Section 300.9 (c)(3), if the parent revokes consent in writing for his or her child's receipt of special education services after the child is initially provided special education and related services, the public agency is not required to amend the child's education records to remove any references to the child's receipt of special education and related services because of the revocation of consent.

V. ELIGIBILITY/IEP TEAM MEETING

Notice of Meeting. The school must take steps to ensure that one or both parents are present at each IEP meeting or are otherwise afforded the opportunity to participate in the IEP meeting. The meeting is to be scheduled at a mutually agreed upon time and place. The school must provide notice of an IEP meeting to the parents for the initial IEP meeting and any subsequent IEP meetings. The notice must be provided in writing prior to the meeting and inform the parents that their child is invited to attend the meeting if the purpose of the meeting is for consideration of postsecondary goals and transition. The written notice must indicate the:

- Purpose;
- Date;
- Time;
- Location of the meeting;
- Titles or positions of the persons who will attend on behalf of the school (The LEA is to notify the parents about who will be in attendance at an IEP team meeting; however, individuals may be indicated by position only. The LEA may elect to identify participants by name, but they have no obligation to do so.);
- Inform the parents of their right to invite to the IEP meeting individuals whom the parents believe to have knowledge or special expertise about their child; and
- Inform the parents that, if their child was previously served in Part C, they may request that the local Part C coordinator or other representative be invited to
participate in the initial IEP meeting to ensure a smooth transition from Part C to Part B services.\textsuperscript{41}

A required member of the IEP team may be excused in whole or in part from the IEP meeting if the LEA and parent agree in writing that the attendance of the member is not necessary because the member’s areas of curriculum or related service are not being modified or discussed. When the meeting involves a modification to or discussion of a member’s area of curriculum or related services, a required member may be excused from attending an IEP team meeting, in whole or in part, if the LEA and the parent, in writing, consent to the excusal. The member must also submit in writing, to the parent and the IEP team, input into the development of the IEP prior to the meeting.\textsuperscript{42} The written input must include educational and behavioral strengths and needs of the child as related to the member’s area of curriculum or related services.

VI. ELIGIBILITY CATEGORIES AND CRITERIA
To be eligible a child must meet a two-pronged test to be considered a child with a disability: (1) have one of the specified disabilities; and (2) because of the impairment, need special education and related services.

The IEP team, consisting of a group of qualified professionals and the parent,\textsuperscript{43} must make the eligibility determination. The LEA, with input from the team, must prepare an evaluation summary report that includes a statement regarding how each of the following areas was addressed:

1. The determination of whether the child has a disability;
2. The determination of whether the child needs special education and related services;
3. The basis for making the determination; and
4. The relationship of the child’s behavior to the child’s academic functioning.

In addition, if the child was evaluated for a specific learning disability (SLD), a review of, and response to, specified SLD eligibility criteria as outlined in this policy is required.\textsuperscript{44} Furthermore, when a child is determined eligible for SLD, the team must include specific information in the

\textsuperscript{41} 34 C.F.R. §300.322(b)
\textsuperscript{42} 34 C.F.R. §300.321(e)
\textsuperscript{43} In accordance with 5 D.C.M.R. §3006, the IEP team must make the eligibility determination.
\textsuperscript{44} 5 D.C.M.R §3006.5
report about the basis for making the determination of a learning disability, such as, but not limited to, severe decoding/processing skills and dyslexia, and how it directly impacts the child in the general education classroom.\textsuperscript{45}

If determining whether a child is eligible for Other Health Impairment (OHI), the report must identify the child's specific chronic or acute health conditions limiting "strength, vitality, or alertness," that "result in limited alertness" to the educational environment and have an adverse effect on "educational performance."\textsuperscript{46} These specific health conditions include "asthma, attention deficit disorder or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, diabetes, epilepsy, a heart condition, hemophilia, lead poisoning, leukemia, nephritis, rheumatic fever, sickle cell anemia, and Tourette syndrome."\textsuperscript{47}

Each team member must certify in writing whether the report reflects the member's conclusion. If the report does not reflect that member's conclusion, the member must submit a separate statement presenting the member's conclusions. If a parent does not agree with the conclusion in the report, the parent may contact the LEA for sources to contact to obtain assistance. The group shall not determine a child to be child with a disability if the determinant factor for that eligibility determination is the child's lack of appropriate instruction in reading or mathematics, limited English proficiency, cultural factors, environmental or economic disadvantage, or if the child does not otherwise qualify as a child with a disability.

**Autism Spectrum Disorder (Known as Autism\textsuperscript{48})**

**Definition:** A developmental disability significantly affecting verbal and nonverbal communication and social interaction, generally evident before age three, but not necessarily so, that adversely affects a child's educational performance. Other characteristics often associated with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) are engagement in repetitive activities and stereotyped movements, resistance to environmental change or change in daily routines, and unusual responses to sensory experiences.\textsuperscript{49} ASD does not apply if a child's educational performance is adversely affected primarily because the child has an emotional disturbance. ASD includes all subtypes of Pervasive Developmental Disorder (such as Autistic Disorder; Rett's Disorder; Childhood Disintegrative Disorder; Asperger Syndrome; and Pervasive Developmental

\textsuperscript{45} 34 C.F.R. §300.311
\textsuperscript{46} 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.8(c)(9); 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
\textsuperscript{47} 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(9)(i); 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
\textsuperscript{48} 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(1)(i); 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
\textsuperscript{49} 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
Disorder, Not Otherwise Specified) provided that the child's educational performance is adversely affected and the child meets the eligibility criteria below.

**Eligibility Criteria:** To be eligible, a child must demonstrate Criterion 1, 2, and one or more criteria under Criterion 3 through 6.

**Criterion 1:** The child displays difficulties or differences or both in interacting with people and events. The child may be unable to establish and maintain reciprocal relationships with people. The child may seek consistency in environmental events to the point of exhibiting rigidity in routines.

**Criterion 2:** The child displays problems which extend beyond speech and language to other aspects of social communication, both receptively and expressively. The child's verbal language may be absent or, if present, lacks the usual communicative form which may involve deviance or delay or both. The child may have a speech or language disorder or both in addition to communication difficulties associated with autism.

**Criterion 3:** The child exhibits delays, arrests, or regressions in motor, sensory, social or learning skills. The child may exhibit precocious or advanced skill development, while other skills may develop at normal or extremely depressed rates. The child may not follow normal developmental patterns in the acquisition of skills.

**Criterion 4:** The child exhibits abnormalities in the thinking process and in generalizing. The child exhibits strengths in concrete thinking while difficulties are demonstrated in abstract thinking, awareness and judgment. Perseverant thinking and impaired ability to process symbolic information may be present.

**Criterion 5:** The child exhibits unusual, inconsistent, repetitive or unconventional responses to sounds, sights, smells, tastes, touch or movement. The child may have a visual or hearing impairment or both in addition to sensory processing difficulties associated with autism.

**Criterion 6:** The child displays marked distress over changes, insistence on following routines, and a persistent preoccupation with or attachment to objects. The child's capacity to use objects in an age—appropriate or functional manner may be absent, arrested or delayed. The child may have difficulty displaying a range of interests or imaginative activities or both. The child may exhibit stereotyped body movements.
Deaf-blindness

**Definition:** The concomitant existence of hearing and visual impairments, the combination of which causes such severe communication and other developmental and educational needs that they cannot be accommodated in special education programs designed solely for children with deafness or children with blindness.\(^{50}\)

**Eligibility Criteria:** To be eligible, the child must meet all criteria listed below:

1. **Criterion 1:** The child has a current (within one year) medical examination and report completed by either an optometrist or ophthalmologist that confirms a visual impairment.

2. **Criterion 2:** The child has a current (within one year) medical examination and report completed by an audiologist that confirms a hearing impairment.

Deafness

**Definition:** A hearing impairment that is so severe that the child is impaired in processing linguistic information through hearing, with or without amplification and the impairment adversely affects the child’s educational performance.\(^{51}\)

**Eligibility Criteria:** To be eligible, the child can be characterized by the absence of enough measurable hearing (usually a pure tone average of 66-90+ decibels American National Standards institute without amplification) such that the primary sensory input for communication may be other than the auditory channel.

Developmental Delay (DD)

**Definition:** A child must be between three through seven,\(^{52}\) whose development and/or behavior is so significantly delayed or atypical that special education and related services required.

**Eligibility Criteria:** To be eligible, the child must experience severe developmental delays of at least two years below his or her chronological age and/or at least two standard deviations below the mean, as measured by appropriate standardized diagnostic instruments and procedures in one or more of the following five areas: physical development, language and

---

\(^{50}\) 30 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(2); 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1

\(^{51}\) 30 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(3)

\(^{52}\) 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
communication development; social or emotional development; cognitive development; or adaptive development. No child may be classified as having DD based solely on deficits in the area of social and/or emotional development. DD does not apply to children with the following disabilities:

- a) autism;
- b) traumatic brain injury;
- c) mental retardation;
- d) emotional disturbance;
- e) other health impairment;
- f) orthopedic impairment;
- g) visual impairment, including blindness;
- h) hearing impairment, including deafness; or
- i) speech/language impairment.53

**Emotional Disturbance (ED)**

**Definition:** A condition exhibiting one or more of the characteristics described in the eligibility criteria below that exists over a long period of time and to a marked degree that adversely affects a child’s educational performance. Emotional Disturbance includes schizophrenia. ED may not apply to children who are socially maladjusted, unless it is determined that they meet criteria for the ED disability category according to the criteria in this policy.54

**Eligibility Criteria:** To determine a child to be eligible, a group of qualified professionals must review and/or conduct two scientific research-based interventions that are based on a problem solving model that addresses behavioral/emotional skill deficiency and documentation of the results of the intervention, including progress monitoring documentation. One of the following criteria must be exhibited and the child must display the criterion over a long period of time and with a degree of severity.

**Criterion 1:** An inability to make educational progress that cannot be explained by intellectual, sensory, or health factors;

**Criterion 2:** An inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers and teachers;

**Criterion 3:** Inappropriate types of behavior or feelings under normal circumstances;

53 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
54 34 C.F.R. § 300.8(c)(4)(i-ii); 5 D.C.M.R. § 3001.1
Criterion 4: A general pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression; or

Criterion 5: A tendency to develop physical symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems.

Hearing Impairment

Definition: Impairment in hearing, whether permanent or fluctuating, that adversely affects a child's educational performance, but that is not included under the definition of deafness in this section.\textsuperscript{55}

Eligibility Criteria: A child who has a hearing impairment typically relies upon the auditory channel for primary sensory input related to communication and must meet the criteria of absence of enough measurable hearing (usually a pure tone average range of 30-65 decibels American National Standards Institute without amplification) that the ability to communicate is adversely affected; however, the child who has a hearing impairment typically relies upon the auditory channel as the primary sensory input for communication.

Intellectual Disability (ID) (Also known as Mental Retardation (MR))

Definition: Intellectual Disability is a disability characterized by significantly subaverage general intellectual functioning, existing concurrently with deficits in adaptive behavior and manifested during the developmental period, which adversely affects a child's educational performance.\textsuperscript{56}

Eligibility Criteria: To be eligible, a child must demonstrate both criteria and be classified at one of the levels listed below.

Criterion 1: Intellectual functioning well below the mean on an individually administered standardized intelligence test, and the standard error of measurement of that test must be taken into account in the interpretation of the results. Measures below the mean are as follows:

Mild Intellectual Disability (MID)

(1) Intellectual functioning ranging between an upper limit of approximately 70 to a lower limit of approximately 55; and

\textsuperscript{55} 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(5); 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
\textsuperscript{56} 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(6); 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
(2) Deficits in adaptive behavior that significantly limit a child's effectiveness in meeting the standards of maturation, learning, personal independence or social responsibility, and especially school performance that is expected of the individual's age level and cultural group, as determined by clinical judgment.

**Moderate Intellectual Disability (MOID)**
(1) Intellectual functioning ranging from an upper limit of approximately 55 to a lower limit of approximately 40; and

(2) Deficits in adaptive behavior that significantly limit a child's effectiveness in meeting the standards of maturation, learning, personal independence or social responsibility, and especially school performance that is expected of the individual's age-level and cultural group, as determined by clinical judgment.

**Severe Intellectual Disability (SID)**
(1) Intellectual functioning ranging from an upper limit of approximately 40 to a lower limit of approximately 25; and

(2) Deficits in adaptive behavior that significantly limit a child's effectiveness in meeting the standards of maturation, learning, personal independence or social responsibility, and especially school performance that is expected of the individual's age-level and cultural group, as determined by clinical judgment.

**Profound Intellectual Disability (PID)**
(1) Intellectual functioning below approximately 25; and deficits in adaptive behavior that meet Criterion 2 (see below).

**Criterion 2:** Adaptive behavior deficits at or below two standard deviations below the mean in one domain, or one and one-half standard deviations below the mean in two or more domains, which significantly limit a child's effectiveness in meeting the standards of maturation, learning, personal independence or social responsibility, and especially school performance that is expected of the individual's age-level and cultural group, as determined by clinical judgment.
Multiple Disabilities (MD)

Definition: Two or more impairments (such as intellectual disability-blindness or intellectual disability-orthopedic impairment) occurring together, the combination of which causes such severe educational needs that the child cannot be accommodated in special education programs solely for one of the impairments. \(^5^7\) When the group of qualified professionals is discussing eligibility under MD, the team should consider whether the child’s impairment is so severe that identification of solely one primary disability is not possible. Multiple disabilities shall not include deaf-blindness.

Eligibility Criteria: To be eligible, a child must have concomitant impairments, the combination of which causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated in special education programs solely for one of the impairments.

In addition, the following criteria should be met:

**Criterion 1:** The child should meet all of the criteria associated with the disability from at least two groups (e.g. intellectual disability – blindness).

- **Group A:** Cognitive Disability (may select no more than 1)
  - Autism Spectrum Disorder
  - Emotional Disturbance
  - Intellectual Disability (also known as MR)
  - Specific Learning Disability
  - Speech-Language Impairment
  - Traumatic Brain Injury

- **Group B:** Sensory Disability (may select no more than 1)
  - Deaf-blindness
  - Deafness
  - Hearing Impairment
  - Visual Impairment including Blindness

- **Group C:** Other Disability (may select no more than 1)
  - Orthopedic Impairment
  - Other Health Impairment

---

\(^5^7\) 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(7); 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
Criterion 2: The combination of coexisting impairments is so severe, complex and interwoven that identification in a single category of disability cannot be determined.

Criterion 3: The impairment results in multisensory or motor deficiencies and developmental lags in the cognitive, affective, or psychomotor areas designed solely to address single impairments.

Orthopedic Impairment

Definition: A severe orthopedic impairment that adversely affects a child’s educational performance. The term includes impairments caused by a congenital anomaly, disease (e.g., poliomyelitis, bone tuberculosis), and other causes (e.g., cerebral palsy, amputations, and fractures or burns that cause contractures).\(^{58}\)

Eligibility Criteria: Review of screening and/or assessments that indicate the diagnosis/prognosis of the child’s orthopedic impairment, along with information as applicable regarding medications, surgeries, specific health care procedures, and special diet or activity restrictions.

Other Health Impairment (OHI)

Definition: Having limited strength, vitality, or alertness, including a heightened alertness to environmental stimuli, resulting in limited alertness with respect to the educational environment, and adversely affecting a child’s education performance, due to chronic or acute health problems.\(^{59}\)

Eligibility Criteria: To be eligible, a child must meet both criteria 1 and 2 and the disability must have an adverse effect on educational performance.

Criterion 1: The impairment is due to chronic or acute health problems such as asthma, attention deficit disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, diabetes, epilepsy, a heart condition, hemophilia, lead poisoning, leukemia, nephritis, rheumatic fever, sickle cell anemia, and Tourette syndrome; and

Criterion 2: The impairment adversely affects a child’s educational performance.\(^{60}\)

\(^{58}\) 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(8)

\(^{59}\) 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1

\(^{60}\) 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(9)(ii); 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
It is the expectation of OSSE that OHI is treated as a separate and distinct classification from all other disability classifications, and not utilized as a spill over or default classification where other classifications do not fit data acquired and reviewed via the child’s evaluation process.

**Specific Learning Disability (SLD)**

**Definition:** A disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or to do mathematical calculations.\(^1\) SLD may include conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. However, SLD may not include learning problems that are primarily the result of: visual, hearing, or motor disabilities; intellectual disability (known as mental retardation); emotional disturbance; cultural factors; environmental or economic disadvantage; or limited English proficiency.\(^2\) The DCMR adopts the federal definition of SLD.\(^3\)

**Eligibility Criteria:** In response to widespread concern about over-identification of children with SLD, the IDEA 2004 introduced new options/opportunities for the way in which states must define eligibility criteria. Consequently, LEAs are permitted to use a process based on scientific, research-based interventions. OSSE supports the use of identification procedures that are based on scientific research rather than identification through the use of a discrepancy model.

**Eligibility Using Scientific Research-Based Interventions.** An LEA may use a process based on a child’s response to scientific research-based intervention. The use of scientific research-based interventions may be a part of a comprehensive evaluation process to determine eligibility in SLD but should not be the sole determinant. To determine eligibility using the Scientific Research-Based Interventions Model, observation in the child’s learning environment (including regular classroom setting) and both criteria 1 and 2 must be met. A child’s need for academic support alone is never sufficient for an SLD eligibility determination.

**Criterion 1:** The child’s response to scientific research-based interventions must indicate the child is not achieving adequately for the child’s age or to meet State-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the following areas, when provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the child’s age or state-approved grade-level standards:

- Oral expression;

---

\(^1\) 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(10)

\(^2\) 34 C.F.R. §300.309(a)(3)

\(^3\) 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1; 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(10)
• Listening comprehension;
• Written expression;
• Basic reading skill;
• Reading fluency skills;
• Reading comprehension;
• Mathematical calculation;
• Mathematics problem solving; AND

The child exhibits a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in performance, achievement, or both, relative to age, District of Columbia Learning Standards, or intellectual development, that is determined by the group to be relevant to the identification of a specific learning disability, using appropriate assessments, OR

The child does not make sufficient progress to meet age or District of Columbia Learning Standards in one or more of the areas identified above of this section, and the child exhibits characteristics of specific learning disabilities consistent with the definition.

**Criterion 2 (exclusionary factors):** The team’s findings under Criteria 1 are NOT primarily the result of:
1. Lack of appropriate instruction in reading, to include the essential components of reading instruction (phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension);
2. Lack of appropriate instruction in math;
3. Limited English Proficiency (LEP); 64
4. Lack of appropriate instruction in writing;
5. A visual, hearing or motor disability;
6. Intellectual disability;
7. Emotional disturbance;
8. Cultural factors; or
9. Environmental or economic disadvantage. 65

**Eligibility Using Discrepancy Model.** To determine eligibility using the discrepancy model, all of the following three criteria must be met. It is necessary for the team to consider and document

---

64 With respect to the exclusionary factors 1-3, the child must not otherwise meet the eligibility criteria for SLD. 34 C.F.R. §300.306(b).
65 5 D.C.M.R. §3006.4; 34 C.F.R. § 300.306(b)
all aspects of each of the three criteria for the evaluation to be considered comprehensive, as required by IDEA. A child’s need for academic support alone is never sufficient for an SLD eligibility determination.

**Discrepancy Model Criterion 1**: The child does not achieve adequately for his or her age or meet the District of Columbia Learning Standards in at least one of the following areas, when the child has been provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the child’s age and grade level:

1. Oral expression;
2. Listening comprehension;
3. Written expression;
4. Basic reading skills;
5. Reading fluency skills;
6. Reading comprehension;
7. Mathematics calculation; or

**Discrepancy Model Criterion 2**: A discrepancy is demonstrated between achievement (as measured by the educational evaluation) and measured ability (as measured by the intellectual evaluation) of two years below a child’s chronological age and/or at least two standard deviations below the child’s cognitive ability as measured by appropriate standardized diagnostic instruments and procedures.\(^{66}\)

**Discrepancy Model Criterion 3 (exclusionary factors)**: The team’s findings under Criteria 1 and 2 are NOT primarily the result of:

1. Lack of appropriate instruction in reading, to include the essential components of reading instruction (phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension);
2. Lack of appropriate instruction in math;\(^{67}\)
3. Lack of appropriate instruction in writing;
4. A visual, hearing or motor disability;
5. Intellectual disability;
6. Emotional disturbance;
7. Cultural factors;

\(^{66}\) 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
\(^{67}\) For Findings 1, 2 and 9, the child must not otherwise meet the eligibility criteria for SLD. 34 C.F.R. §300.306(b)
8. Environmental or economic disadvantage; or
9. Limited English Proficiency.\(^{68}\)

**Speech or Language Impairment**

**Definition:** Consistent with OSSE’s Related Service Policy, speech or language impairment is defined as a communication disorder, such as stuttering, impaired articulation, language impairment, or a voice impairment, that adversely affects a child’s educational performance.\(^{69}\) Specific areas of impairment include:

A. Articulation Impairment: The child’s speech has atypical production of speech sounds characterized by substitutions, omissions, additions or distortions that interferes with intelligibility in conversational speech and obstructs learning successful verbal communication in the educational setting. The term may include the atypical production of speech sounds resulting from phonology, motor or other issues.

B. Fluency Impairment: Interruption in the flow of speech characterized by an atypical rate or rhythm; and/or repetitions in sounds, syllables, words and phrases that significantly reduces the speaker’s ability to participate within the learning environment. Excessive tension, struggling behaviors, and secondary characteristics may accompany fluency impairments. Secondary characteristics are defined as ritualistic behaviors or movements that accompany dysfluencies. Ritualistic behaviors may include avoidance of specific sounds in words. Fluency impairment includes disorders such as stuttering and cluttering. It does not include dysfluencies evident in only one setting or reported by one observer.

C. Language Impairment: Impaired comprehension and/or use of spoken language which may also impair written and/or other symbol systems and is negatively impacting the child’s ability to participate in the classroom environment. The impairment may involve, in any combination, the form of language (phonology, morphology, and syntax), the content of language (semantics), and/or the use of language in communication (pragmatics) that is adversely affecting the child’s educational performance.
D. Voice/Resonance Impairment: Interruption in one or more processes of pitch, quality, intensity, or resonance resonance that significantly reduces the speaker’s ability to communicate effectively. Voice/resonance impairment includes aphonia, or the abnormal production of vocal quality, pitch, loudness, resonance, and/or duration, which is inappropriate for an individual’s age and/or gender.

Eligibility Criteria: The team has reviewed, when appropriate, documented results of at least two or more measures or procedures, administered in the area of impairment and documentation of adverse affect. A child with a speech or language impairment in one or more of the above areas must meet the following criteria:

Criterion 1: The speech-language pathologist determines the presence or absence of speech and language impairment based on D.C. rules and regulations for special education, and

Criterion 2: Documentation exists of an adverse effect of the impairment on the child’s educational performance.

Visual Impairment (Including Blindness)

Definition: Visual impairment, including blindness, is impairment in vision that, even with correction, adversely affects a child’s educational performance. The term includes both partial sight and blindness. 70

Eligibility Criteria: A child with visual impairment (including blindness) must meet one or more of the following minimum criteria:

Criterion 1: Central acuity with corrective lenses 20/70 or less in the better eye with correction, or

Criterion 2: Reduced visual field to 50 degrees or less in the better eye, or

Criterion 3: A diagnosis of cortical visual impairment, or

Criterion 4: A diagnosis of a degenerative condition that is likely to result in a significant loss of vision in the future.

---

70 34 C.F.R. §300.8(c)(13); 5 D.C.M.R. §3001.1
Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

Definition: An acquired injury to the brain caused by an external physical force, resulting in total or partial functional disability or psychosocial impairment, or both, that adversely affects a child’s educational performance. Traumatic brain injury applies to open or closed head injuries resulting in impairments in one or more areas, such as cognition, language, memory, or attention; reasoning; abstract thinking; judgment; problem-solving; sensory, perceptual, or motor abilities; psychosocial behavior; physical functions; information processing; and speech. TBI does not include brain injuries that are: (a) congenital or degenerative; or (b) brain injuries induced by birth trauma.

Eligibility Criteria: A child having traumatic brain injury must meet the criteria of deficits in one or more of the following areas:

Criterion 1: Cognitive - including areas such as memory, attention, reasoning, abstract thinking, judgment, problem solving, speed of information processing, cognitive endurance, organization, receptive and expressive language and speed of language recall, or

Criterion 2: Social/Behavioral - including areas such as awareness of self and others, interaction with others, response to social rules, emotional responses to everyday situations and adaptive behavior, or

Criterion 3: Physical/Motor - including areas such as hearing and vision acuity, speech production, eye-hand coordination, mobility and physical endurance.

Compliance and Monitoring

The U.S. Department of Education’s Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) requires that every State Education Agency monitor LEAs to ensure compliance with IDEA Part B, including timely evaluations and reevaluations. A finding of LEA noncompliance by OSSE results in the requirement to submit an improvement plan containing corrective actions for each area of noncompliance. OSSE may also recommend or require training and technical assistance to LEA staff when crafting corrective actions. All items of noncompliance must, by Federal law, be
corrected within one year of the finding; sustained noncompliance by an LEA may result in sanctions that include potential withholding of Part B grant funding.\textsuperscript{73}

Due in part to longstanding noncompliance with initial evaluations and reevaluation timelines, the District of Columbia is currently under Special Conditions related to its Part B IDEA grant funding.\textsuperscript{74} OSSE has therefore placed special emphasis on monitoring compliance with 1) employing practices that support education of children in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE), 2) adherence to timelines for evaluation and reevaluation, and 3) timely and complete response to Hearing Officer Determinations (HODS). All LEAs must comply with monitoring requirements established annually by the OSSE, which include the collection and submission of both quantitative and qualitative data that support monitoring for regulatory compliance and programmatic quality.

Further Guidance, Training and Technical Assistance
The OSSE is committed to ensuring that LEAs have the knowledge base and tools to conduct timely and appropriate initial evaluations and reevaluations. OSSE will conduct activities to support LEA compliance, including, but not limited to:

- Clear written guidelines for schools on this issue, containing specific scenarios and examples; answers to frequently asked questions; and clarity concerning the use of the State Special Education Data System (SEDS) to document evaluations and reevaluations; and

- In-person professional development for school personnel to ensure knowledgeable implementation.

The OSSE is committed to ensuring that all children with disabilities receive a free appropriate public education, and in so doing, that evaluations and reevaluations are conducted appropriately and in compliance with the law. If you have questions or concerns regarding this Memorandum, please contact Amy Maisterra, Ed.D., Chief of Staff, Department of Special Education, at (202) 481-3757, or by email at Amy.Maisterra@dc.gov.

\textsuperscript{73} 34 C.F.R. §300.604
The Early Childhood Landscape in the District of Columbia
Quick Fact Sheet

THE OFFICE OF THE STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION MISSION: All District of Columbia residents will receive an excellent education for success in the 21st century by providing a comprehensive network of interconnecting strategies and services to focus on: prepared children, classrooms, families and communities.

THE OFFICE OF EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION MISSION: ECE provides leadership and coordination to ensure all District of Columbia children from birth to kindergarten entrance have access to high quality early childhood development programs. ECE works to develop an effective early childhood education system by implementing high standards for programs and professionals, creating supports to meet standards, adhering to rigorous accountability measures, engaging community stakeholders and securing strong financial supports.

REPORT ON ECONOMIC IMPACT OF EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION: In April 2007, the National Economic Development and Law Center released a groundbreaking report, Ensuring a Vibrant City: The Economic Impact of the Early Care and Education Industry. The report demonstrated that the early care and education (ECE) industry is a key contributor to Washington D.C.’s economic vitality. Encompassing a range of programs outside the kindergarten through twelfth grade (K-12) education system, the ECE industry educates and cares for DC’s children from birth through age 12, or through age 18 for children with disabilities. Every year, the District of Columbia’s ECE industry generates 5221 million in gross receipts and supports more than 6300 full-time equivalent jobs. Below is a brief overview of the early childhood landscape in the District of Columbia.

CHILD CARE SUBSIDY PROGRAM: The District of Columbia provides child care services (including before and after school care) to children ages 6 weeks through 12 years, including services through age 18 for children with disabilities. Children are served in licensed centers, family child care homes, and satellite home programs. This includes community and faith-based providers and employer-sponsored and employer-supported organizations. Families may also use license-exempt providers to meet their child care needs as a last resort. In 2008, the Child Care Subsidy Program served a total of 22,316 children or 70.84% of the 31,500 eligible children in the District.

The table below shows the number of number licensed center and home providers in the district and the number of children they serve by age group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LICENSED PROVIDERS AND CHILDREN SERVED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Development Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Development Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEAD START AND EARLY HEAD START: The Department of Health and Human Services through the Head Start Bureau, awards grants to local public and private non-profit and for-profit agencies to provide comprehensive child development services to economically disadvantaged children and families. The primary focus of Head Start is to assist preschoolers in developing early reading and math skills for future academic success. Classroom activities focus on Language Development, Social Emotional Development, Physical Development and Cognitive Development. Head Start works with families to secure medical services, social welfare assistance, employment services and other services identified as community needs. Early Head Start (EHS) focuses on low income infants, toddlers, pregnant women and their families. EHS

Children Served in Subsidy: In 2008, the Child Care Subsidy Program served a total of 22,316 children or 70.84% of the 31,500 eligible children in the District.

Head Start Allocation: The national allocation for Head Start is $6,877,975,000. Of this amount, DC receives $25,211,311 or 0.37% of the national Head Start allocation.
programs focuses on physical, cognitive, social-emotional and language development. The program also provides access to comprehensive prenatal care, postpartum care and family supports.

Head Start and Early Head Start programs are responsible for connecting and establishing relationships and agreements with the Local School Districts, libraries, Health and Wellness Centers, service providers for disabilities services, higher education institutions, Mental Health Consultants, Homeless Shelters and many other local agencies to refer families to the best services according to their various needs. The national allocation for Head Start is $6,877,975,000. Of this amount, DC receives $25,211,311 or 0.37% of the national Head Start allocation.

### HEAD START PROGRAMS IN THE DISTRICT (2007-08)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center Name</th>
<th># Early Head Start Children</th>
<th># Head Start Children</th>
<th>TOTAL Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bright Beginnings</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Parks and Recreation</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Public Schools</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,782</td>
<td>1,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Centro de Rosemount</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward C. Mazique PCC</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Planning Organization</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>2,954</td>
<td>3,152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DC HEAD START STATE COLLABORATION OFFICE:** The DC Head Start State Collaboration Office (HSSCO) is managed by the DC Office of the State Superintendent of Education. The annual budget is $125,000 and does not have oversight of Head Start/Early Head Start programs nor does the office act as a fiduciary agent. HSSCO:

- Facilitates collaboration between Head Start/Early Head Start agencies and entities that carry out other activities designed to benefit low income children
- Acts as the liaison for Head Start/Early Head Start agencies and OSSE.
- Promotes better linkages between Head Start agencies, including agencies that provide health, mental health, or family services to children birth to five years old.
- Works in partnership with the State-based Training and Technical Assistance Systems, Statewide Service Area Committees, and the State Early Learning Council.
- Assists Head Start agencies with curricula alignment and assessment implementation.

**PRE-KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM:** With the passage of the Pre-k Expansion and Enhancement Act of 2008, The Office of Early Childhood Education (ECE) is responsible for the implementation of high quality universal Pre-k in the District of Columbia. The Pre-k program uses a multi-pronged approach to early childhood education that will utilize DC Public Schools, DC Public Charter Schools, and Community Based Organizations in order to increase high quality Pre-k services in the District.

The OSSE’s current Pre-k budget is $5.1 million which supports services for 368 students including high quality classrooms; professional development; training and technical assistance; comprehensive services; environmental, classroom, and child outcome assessments. The budget for the coming fiscal year will be increased to $10.5 million to expand services to 600 students and introduce Workforce Development initiatives that include 59 Program Assistance Grants and two Higher Education Incentive Programs to provide grants and scholarships to 70 current and potential early childhood education professionals.
DC EMPLOYERS UTILIZING THE CHILD CARE SUBSIDY PROGRAM: In 2007, ECE analyzed enrollment data to identify the organizations whose employees were participating in the Child Care Subsidy Program. Below is a list of the top 10 employers utilizing CCSP services:

1. D.C. Government
2. U.S. Federal Government
3. D.C. Public Schools
4. CVS
5. Hawk-One Security
6. Howard University
7. Safeway
8. McDonald’s
9. Washington Hospital Center
10. Children’s Hospital

EARLY LEARNING STANDARDS: To ensure quality programming, the District maintains a high standard for all of its providers. The State Board of Education approved the DC Early Learning Standards for Infants and Toddlers and Pre-k on December 17, 2008. The new *Child Development Facility Regulations* were published on April 27, 2007, the first revision since 1974.

QUALITY RATING SYSTEM - GOING FOR THE GOLD!: The District of Columbia’s Quality Rating System, *Going for the Gold*, reimburses providers based on the quality of services as determined by a tiered rating. Providers that are nationally accredited are awarded the gold-tier rating. As of May 2009, there were 83 Gold, 46 Silver and 147 Bronze level providers.

NATIONAL ACCREDITATION AND CREDENTIALING: The District of Columbia is one of the nation’s leaders in accreditation with the highest rates of accredited providers in the country. OSSE supports the accreditation of early child care providers through a project managed by the Washington Child Development Council. This project provides a centralized, district-wide system of resources and opportunities to support the achievement of national accreditation, continuous quality improvement and on-going professional development to ensure positive outcomes for all children of the District of Columbia, birth through age five in early care and education programs. In this past year,

In 2008, 95 centers were accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC) and 10 homes were accredited by the Council of Accreditation (COA) or the National Association for Family Child Care. There were also 18 centers and 15 homes were accredited or re-accredited through the Accreditation Facilitation Project.

RESOURCE & REFERRAL: Consumer education is a key component of CCDF requirements. In addition to ECE’s outreach efforts, OSSE/ECE contracts with the Washington Child Development Council (WCDC), which serves as a community-based child care resource and referral agency for the District of Columbia to increase its information base with regard to early care and education and school-age services. According to the National Association of Child Care Resource & Referral Agencies (NACCRRA) report, “*We Can Do Better: 2009*”, the District of Columbia is ranked 2nd in the nation for State Child Care Center Regulation and Oversight. (D.C. scored higher than all 50 states and ranked only behind the Department of Defense.)

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM: To ensure a cohesive professional development system, ECE established Professional Development Plan (DCPROS) which identifies the specific knowledge and skills needed by ECE practitioners to work effectively with all young children and families as Core Knowledge Areas. These Core Knowledge Areas are include but are not
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limited to understanding domains and stages of development, planning and implementing a curriculum that advances all areas of children’s learning and development, approaches to learning, language and literacy, mathematical thinking, scientific inquiry and creative arts and creating learning environments that are responsive to the diverse needs of the abilities and interests of young children.

Through professional development opportunities supported by OSSE/ECE, 244 early child care providers received Child Development Associates (CDA) scholarships and 2,200 ECE professionals received training during this past year. This current year, so far the Professional Development Unit has held 100 with 3,098 participating teachers and administrators.

**EARLY LITERACY PROGRAMS:** ECE has a number of programs focusing on early literacy. The *Family Book Club* is a community-based and community-initiated program which promotes family literacy. In 2008, 22 book clubs were organized throughout the city. In total, 421 parents and 597 children were involved in Family Book Clubs. *Early Readers Now!* places one-on-one reading volunteers into early education programs, and helps build organizational capacity through a new ECE funded *Early Literacy Capacity Building Institute*. In 2008, Held an Early Literacy Capacity Building Institute for 10 community based organizations to develop organizational capacity and build stronger foundation in order to more effectively utilize volunteers.

**CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION:** To engage different stakeholders and ensure best practices, early care and education involves coordination and collaboration across multiple stakeholder groups. This led to the creation of an extensive network of public/private partnerships including the business and philanthropic communities, arts and humanities organizations, and service providers.
What Is the DC Early Intervention Program?
The DC Early Intervention Program (DC EIP) serves as a single point of entry for infants and toddlers whose families or others have concerns about their development. DC EIP is charged with implementing the Infants and Toddlers Program under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), Part C (34 CFR, Part 303). Under this Act, DC EIP is responsible for services to young children, birth to three (3) years. Children are automatically eligible for early intervention services when they have a condition known to result in developmental delay (like Down syndrome). They are also eligible if they demonstrate a 50% developmental delay in any one of five developmental areas (cognitive, physical, communication, social/emotional, and adaptive). Under special circumstances, children may also be determined eligible based on additional information indicating that there is a potential delay in areas that are difficult to assess with standard measures.

What Are Early Intervention Services?
Early Intervention services are designed to meet the needs of the child. DCEIP provides a variety of services such as occupational, physical and speech/language therapy, as well as special instruction, vision, and audiology (hearing) services. Early intervention services may also include services for the family designed to assist in the child’s development. Services for the family include family counseling, training, and home visits.

Who Can Refer a Child to DC EIP?
The DC EIP accepts referrals from anyone who has concerns about a child’s development including parents, family members, friends, physicians, hospitals, Medicaid, child care staff, and social workers.

What Happens When a Child Is Referred?
DC EIP will work with the family to determine if the need for a multidisciplinary evaluation of the child’s developmental levels is needed. As part of this process, and with the parent’s permission, a screening may be completed. If an evaluation is needed, it will be conducted with parental consent. The evaluation will focus on specific child development skills in the following areas:

- Physical – how the child moves, uses hands, sees and hears
- Cognitive – how the child thinks and learns
- Communication – how the child understands language, makes beginning speech sounds, and uses speech
- Social/Emotional – how the child copes, interacts, and gets along with others
- Adaptive - how the child does activities such as eating, drinking, dressing, and toileting

If the child is eligible for services, an Individualized Family Services Plan (IFSP) will be developed with input from the family. This plan will describe the services needed by the child and family and how they will be provided.
Who Pays for Services?
Federal law requires that specific services be provided at public expense to children and families who are eligible. These include:

- Activities Related to Child Find/Referral
- Evaluation/Assessment
- IFSP Development and Review
- Development and Distribution of Procedural Safeguards Notice (Notice of Family Rights)
- Service Coordination
- Selected Therapeutic Services

A family may have to assume some or all of the costs for other Early Intervention Services, depending on the parents’ ability to pay. Medicaid or private insurance can be designated payors.

Who Provides the Services?
DC EIP uses both program staff and approved contractors to provide the required services. Families who use their private insurance or those enrolled in a Medicaid Managed Care Organization may use the therapists identified by their insurance company.

Where Are Services Provided?
Early intervention services must be provided in the child’s natural environment, including the home and community settings in which children without disabilities participate. Children can receive their services in the child’s primary setting during the day. Some go to licensed child care centers or early intervention programs designed to serve children with delays.

What Should I Do to Make a Referral?
Referring a child is easy. Simply call The DC EIP Child Find Hotline at (202) 727-3665 between the hours of 8:15 AM and 4:45 PM. If you call before or after business hours, please leave a voice mail. A referral form can be emailed, faxed or mailed to you. Referrals can also be emailed to osse.dceip@dc.gov or faxed to (202) 724-7230.

District of Columbia law requires DC government agencies to ensure equal access to their programs by persons with limited or no English proficiency. To request a document in your primary language or an interpreter, please call the DC Early Intervention Program Monday-Friday 8:00a.m.-5:00 pm on (202)727-3665, or use the DC Relay service at 711 for all callers who are deaf, hard-of-hearing or speech impaired.
Extended IFSP Option for Children Age 3 to Age 4

Policies and Procedures
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INTRODUCTION

Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004 (IDEA) sets an agenda for responsive, appropriate, and quality services for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families in the natural environment. The District of Columbia has developed a statewide system of comprehensive, coordinated, multidisciplinary, and interagency programs for all infants and toddlers with disabilities, birth through age two, and their families. Through the authorization of District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (DCMR) § 3100-3199 in April 2013, the District of Columbia elected to utilize the option to provide families in Early Intervention with the option to receive IFSP services from age three until the beginning of the school year following the child’s 4th birthday, if the child has a current IFSP and is determined eligible for preschool special education and related services. This option incorporates the strength of the special education preschool educational component with the existing infants and toddlers family-centered service model.

The Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) is the State Education Agency under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) for the preschool program and the State lead agency under Part C of the IDEA for the DC Early Intervention Program (DC EIP). OSSE also includes the Division of Early Learning. This Division includes the State’s Office of Child Care, the Head Start State Collaboration Office, and general education early learning. OSSE’s ability to collaborate and align priorities for early learning and child care coupled with its seamless system of service and education for infants, toddlers, children, and youth, birth through the age of 21, supports OSSE’s implementation of this option for families of young children to receive services and supports in natural environments within their communities.

EARLY CHILDHOOD INTERVENTION and EDUCATION SERVICE MODEL

The Extended IFSP Option provides a family centered service delivery model to early childhood education practices that promote school readiness. In the District of Columbia, children from birth through age two can access services from DC EIP through an IFSP. Prior to age three, a transition planning meeting is conducted to identify steps needed to prepare a child and family for the transition to preschool and/or community services. If a parent wishes to consider preschool special education or remain in the DC EIP until the first day of school following his/her child’s fourth birthday, the child is referred to the local education agency to determine the child’s eligibility for special education and related services.

Before the age of three, if a child with a current IFSP is determined eligible for special education and related services, his/her parents will have the choice of:

- The Extended IFSP Option – The child and family would continue to receive early intervention services with an educational component that promotes school readiness and incorporates pre-literacy, language, and numeracy skills through
an IFSP until the beginning of the school year following the child’s 4th birthday;
or
● Special education preschool services through an Individualized Education Program (IEP) as a student with a disability.

Pursuant to 34 CFR §303.211 (b)(3), a parent of a child with a disability may at any time choose to terminate his/her child’s participation in the Extended IFSP Option and receive free appropriate public education (FAPE). Once a parent makes the choice, through written notification to DC EIP, to terminate early intervention services through an IFSP and to pursue services through an IEP, the choice to return to services through an IFSP is not available.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BASIS

Federal Law/Guidance
The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), as amended, requires the State lead agency (under Part C of the IDEA) and the State Education Agency (under Part B of the IDEA) to have policies and procedures to ensure a smooth and effective transition of children at age three from early intervention to preschool or other appropriate services and permits a State to make services available to children ages three to kindergarten age through either the Part C early intervention system or the Part B preschool system (34 CFR §§303.209, 303.211, 303.21, 303.501).

District of Columbia’s policies and procedures must ensure that:

(a) Children participating in early intervention programs assisted under Part C of the Act and who will participate in preschool programs assisted under Part B of the Act, experience a smooth and effective transition to those preschool programs in a manner consistent with 34 CFR§303.209;

(b) By the third birthday of a child described in paragraph (a) of this Section, an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or, if consistent with 34 CFR §300.323(b) an Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP), has been developed and is being implemented for the child consistent with 34 CFR §300.101(b); and

(c) Each affected LEA will participate in transition conferences arranged by the DC EIP consistent with 34 CFR §303.209(c).

OSSE requires each LEA to develop and implement one set of policies and procedures to ensure the smooth transition of children from Part C to Part B consistent with the OSSE Early Childhood Transition Policy.
**Federal IDEA Statutory Requirements and Regulations**

Policy Requirement Provisions in IDEA Sections 632(5)(B)(ii), 635(c), 638(4), 612(a)(1)(C), 619(f)(5), 611(e)(1)(A), 611(e)(7), 611(f)(3), 619(f)(5) and 643(e); and 34 CFR §303.211.

**District of Columbia State Rules and Policies**

District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (DCMR) 5 DCMR §§ 3100-3199; State Policy: Early Childhood Transition Policy; Evaluation and Reevaluation Policy; and OSSE Policies for Implementing Part C of IDEA.

**District of Columbia Definitions**

*Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities*: Pursuant to Part C IDEA regulations at 34 C.F.R. §§ 303.21 and 303.111, a child is eligible for District of Columbia Part C early intervention services if the child is between the age of birth and his/her third (3rd) birthday and any of the following apply:

(a) A developmental delay, as measured by appropriate diagnostic measures and procedures emphasizing the use of informed clinical opinion, defined as having at least a 50% delay in one or more, or a 25% delay in two or more of the following areas:

   1. Physical development, including vision or hearing;
   2. Cognitive development;
   3. Communication development;
   4. Social or emotional development; or
   5. Adaptive development.

(b) The child is diagnosed as having a physical or mental condition that has a high probability of resulting in developmental delay, including conditions such as chromosomal abnormalities; genetic or congenital disorders; sensory impairments; inborn errors of metabolism; disorders reflecting disturbance of the development of the nervous system; congenital infections; severe attachment disorders; and disorders secondary to exposure to toxic substances, including fetal alcohol syndrome.

*Children with Disabilities*: Beginning at three years of age, a child is eligible for preschool special education and related services through an IEP as a child with a disability if the child has been identified as having one of the following:

- Autism,
- Deaf-blindness,
- Developmental delay, ages 3 through 7,\(^1\)
- Emotional disturbance,
- Hearing impairment, including deafness,
- Intellectual disability,
- Multiple disabilities,
- Orthopedic impairment,
- Other health impairment,
- Specific learning disability,
- Speech or language impairment,
- Traumatic brain injury, or
- Visual impairment, including blindness;

and, by reason thereof, needs special education and related services.

Policies and Procedures

Pursuant to 5 DCMR §A-3110, a family may choose the Extended IFSP Option and receive early intervention services which promote school readiness and incorporates pre-literacy, language, and numeracy skills, until the beginning of the school year following the child’s 4th birthday.

Consistent with 34 CFR §303.211, “State option to make services under this part available to children ages three and older,” the District adopts the following policies:

A. Children Eligible under the Extended IFSP Option

1. Children with disabilities prior to age 3 who are determined eligible for preschool special education through an IEP and who have a current IFSP through DC EIP.

2. Families may choose the continuation of early intervention services, which shall include an educational component that promotes school readiness and incorporates pre-literacy, language, and numeracy skills, until the beginning of the school year following the child’s 4th birthday.

3. DC EIP will ensure the continuation of all early intervention services identified on the child’s IFSP beyond age three, while any eligibility determination for Part B is being made for services under the Extended IFSP Option allowed by 34 CFR§303.211 and 5 DCMR §A-3110. If the LEA has requested parental consent for the initial evaluation and the family has not given consent for the Part B evaluation by the child’s third birthday, all

\(^1\) The definition of a child with a developmental delay is consistent with the definition provided in the District Code of Municipal Regulations 5 DCMR §E-3001.1 and 34CFR§300.8(b)(2)]. Use of the developmental delay (DD) categorical option is at the discretion of the local school system.
services will be terminated. NOTE: At any time, a family may pursue IEP services through their LEA.

4. In accordance with 34 CFR §303.302, 34 CFR §303.211(b)(7) and section VIII of the OSSE Policies for Implementing Part C of IDEA, children under the age of three who experience a substantiated case of trauma due to exposure to family violence (as defined in Section 320 of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act) shall be referred to the early intervention system for evaluation for early intervention services.

B. Provision of a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE)

1. District of Columbia requires that all children and youth identified and found eligible for special education services must receive a free appropriate public education (FAPE).

2. FAPE means all special education and related services that:
   
   a. Are provided at public expense, under public supervision and direction, and at no cost to the parent;
   b. Meet the standards of OSSE;
   c. Include an appropriate preschool program, elementary school, or secondary school education; and
   d. Are provided in conformity with an IEP.

C. Annual Notification

1. Consistent with 34 CFR §303.211, the lead agency shall ensure that parents of children served under Part C are provided an annual notice by their child’s third birthday. This notice shall also be posted on the lead agency’s website in all the required languages of the District of Columbia.

2. The annual notice shall include:

   a. A description of the rights of parents to elect to receive services for their child who is eligible for Part B preschool special education services through the Extended IFSP Option with an IFSP; and

   b. An explanation of the differences between the early intervention services provided through an IFSP under Part C and the preschool services provided through an Individualized Education Program (IEP) under Part B, including:
i. Information confirming that all of the Part C procedural safeguards apply, including the confidentiality, consent, dispute resolution and other provisions in IDEA Section 639 and 34 CFR §§303.400 – 303.449;

ii. Types of services and the locations at which the services are provided;

iii. Possible costs to the family, which shall be none (5 DCMR §A-3112 and OSSE Policies for Implementing Part C of IDEA, Section IV, for any early intervention services identified on a child’s IFSP.

3. If the parent chooses to continue early intervention services through an IFSP, the child’s IFSP shall be revised to include an educational component that addresses pre-literacy, language, and numeracy skills.

4. If the child is determined eligible for preschool special education services and the child’s family does not choose the Extended IFSP Option, the child will be referred to the LEA IEP team for the development of an IEP to address the child’s special education and related service needs.

5. Once a parent makes the choice, through written notification to the DC EIP, to terminate early intervention services through an IFSP and pursue services through an IEP, the choice to return to services through an IFSP is not available.
### District of Columbia Early Childhood Intervention and Education Service Model

**Comparison of Extended IFSP Option and Preschool Services**

**Age Three to Age Four**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Extended IFSP Option Through an IFSP&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Preschool Services Through an IEP&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individualized Plan/Program</td>
<td>Individualized Family Service Plan</td>
<td>Individualized Education Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) means a written plan for providing early intervention and other services to an eligible child and the child’s family; the IFSP is revised at least annually by an IFSP team, which includes the child’s parent. The IFSP specifically requires designation of a service coordinator to ensure appropriate implementation and coordination of the plan. The IFSP should reflect coordination across the various service agencies with which the family is involved. The IFSP focuses on both the child and the family within their daily routines. 34 CFR §303.344</td>
<td>Individualized Education Program (IEP) means a written statement for a child with a disability that is developed, reviewed, and revised in a meeting in accordance with 20 U.S.C. § 1414. The IEP, among other things, focuses on how the child’s disability affects the child’s participation in appropriate activities, and prescribes annual goals to meet the child’s needs and services to assist in attaining those goals. 34 CFR §§300.320 – 300.324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Procedural Safeguards Notice**

- Procedural Safeguards Notice 5 DCMR §A-3111 that includes:
  - Opportunity to Examine Records
  - Prior Notice
  - Native Language
  - Parent Consent
  - Surrogate Parents
  - Dispute Resolution
    - Written State Complaint
    - Impartial Individual Child

- Procedural Safeguards Notice 5 DCMR §E-3020, that includes:
  - Opportunity to examine records
  - Independent educational evaluation
  - Prior Notice
  - Parental consent
  - Access to educational records
  - Native Language
  - Surrogate Parents
  - Dispute Resolution

---

<sup>2</sup> Part C Services to children three to the beginning of the school year following the child’s 4<sup>th</sup> birthday.

<sup>3</sup> Part B Services to children three through five years of age
## Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extended IFSP Option Through an IFSP²</th>
<th>Preschool Services Through an IEP³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complaint</td>
<td>Written State Complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Services During Pendency of Proceeding</td>
<td>Impartial Due Process Complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mediation</td>
<td>Resolution Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Resolution Session</td>
<td>Services During Pendency of Proceeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Confidentiality</td>
<td>• Mediation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Confidentiality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Discipline of Children with Disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Attorney’s Fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Unilateral placements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Civil actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Extended School Year Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Types of Services

### Early Intervention Services

Early intervention services necessary to meet the unique needs of the child and the child’s family shall be recorded on a District of Columbia IFSP document, and may include, but are not limited to:

- Assistive technology devices and assistive technology services
- Audiology services
- Family training, counseling, and home visits
- Medical services only for diagnostic or evaluation purposes
- Nursing
- Nutrition services
- Occupational therapy
- Psychological services
- Physical therapy
- Service coordination
- Social work services

### Special Education & Related Services

Special education (instruction, speech/language therapy, travel training, physical education, vocational education) as specially designed instruction that ensures the child’s access to the general curriculum to meet the educational standards within the jurisdiction of the public agency that apply to all children. Related services, if determined necessary, to enable the child to benefit from special education may include:

- Assistive technology devices and assistive technology services
- Audiology services
- Early identification and assessment
- Interpreting services
- Medical services for diagnostic or evaluation purposes
- Occupational therapy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Extended IFSP Option Through an IFSP&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Preschool Services Through an IEP&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Special instruction designed to meet the developmental needs of an infant or toddler with a disability</td>
<td>• Parent counseling and training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Speech-language pathology services</td>
<td>• Psychological services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Transportation</td>
<td>• Physical therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vision services</td>
<td>• Recreation, including therapeutic recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continuous year-round services for all children</td>
<td>• School health services and school nurse services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34 CFR §303.13</td>
<td>• Social work services in schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Student counseling services, including rehabilitation counseling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of Services</td>
<td>Natural Environments</td>
<td>Least Restrictive Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Settings that are natural, including the home and community settings in which children without disabilities participate:</td>
<td>A public agency shall ensure that:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Home</td>
<td>(1) To the maximum extent appropriate, students with disabilities, including students in public or private institutions or other care facilities, are educated with students who are not disabled;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Public or Private Community Settings, including but not limited to:</td>
<td>(2) Special classes, separate schooling, or other removal of students with disabilities from the regular educational environment occurs only when the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplementary aids and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Public school preschool Private community preschool</td>
<td>• Public or Private Community Settings, including but not limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Head Start</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Child care centers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Family child care providers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Parks and recreation programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Play groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Libraries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Other child serving programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34 CFR §§300.34, 300.39, and 300.106
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Extended IFSP Option Through an IFSP</th>
<th>Preschool Services Through an IEP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|            | IFSP services may be provided in a setting other than a natural environment only if early intervention and education cannot be achieved satisfactorily in a natural environment. A justification of the extent, if any, to which the services will not be provided in a natural environment is required. 34 CFR §303.13(a)(8), and 303.26, 303.126. | to:  
- Public school preschool and pre-kindergarten programs  
- Private community preschool and pre-kindergarten programs  
- Head Start  
- Kindergartens  
- Private Kindergartens  
- Group child development centers and child care  
- Home  
- Hospitals  
- Public or Private day school  
- Public or Private residential school  
34 CFR §300.114 and 5 DCMR §E-3011 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent Consent</th>
<th>A parent may accept or decline any particular early intervention service, or withdraw consent to any particular early intervention service after it is first provided. 34 CFR §303.420</th>
<th>A parent is required to consent for the initial evaluation prior to the LEA conducting any assessments. A parent must also sign consent for the initial provision of services prior to the development of the IEP. If a parent of a child enrolled in an LEA or seeking to be enrolled in an LEA does not provide consent for initial evaluation, or the parent fails to respond to a request to provide consent, the public agency, may, but it is not required to, pursue the initial evaluation of the child by utilizing the procedural safeguards (including the mediation procedures or the due process procedures), if appropriate. If, at any time after the initial provision of special education and related services, the parent revokes consent in writing for the continued provision of special education and related services, the public agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>must terminate the provision of all</td>
<td>34 CFR 300.300 and 5 DCMR §E-3026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>special education and related services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>specified in the child’s IEP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System of Payment</td>
<td>• Parents are not charged for services.</td>
<td>• Parents are not charged for services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No significant</td>
<td>• Medicaid for early intervention</td>
<td>• Medicaid for only health-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>differences</td>
<td>services with parental consent.</td>
<td>services and case management,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with parental consent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^2]: Extended IFSP Option
[^3]: Preschool Services
D. Transition

1. At 30 months of age, or as part of the initial IFSP if the child is referred after their second birthday, transition outcomes must be included as part of the IFSP.

2. DC EIP shall inform each affected LEA of all potentially eligible children who will soon reach the age of three on a monthly basis, unless the family has opted out of providing the LEA with the child’s personally identifiable information in accordance with 5 DCMR §A-3109.

3. At the Transition Conference, which is held with the approval of the family, no earlier than nine months and no later than three months prior to the child’s third birthday, parents will participate in a discussion of the Extended IFSP Option through an IFSP and special education preschool services through an IEP. The DC EIP and the LEA are required to clearly explain the differences in services delivery models if a child continues on an IFSP or begins services under an IEP.

4. A Part B representative from the LEA must be invited to attend and is expected to participate in the Transition Conference unless the family has opted out of providing the LEA with the child’s personally identifiable information.

5. The LEA shall:

   a. Convene an IEP team meeting for the purpose of determining eligibility for Part B special education preschool services in a timely manner such that eligibility must be determined before the child’s third birthday; and

   b. Ensure the Part C service coordinator or other designee is invited to attend the Part B eligibility meeting to participate in the review of existing data on the child’s progress and performance on the IFSP, unless the family requests, in writing, that a Part C representative not participate in the IEP eligibility meeting.

6. If a child is determined eligible for Part B special education preschool services, the parent must choose, prior to the child’s third birthday, to:

   a. Continue services through an IFSP, including an educational component; or

   b. Receive special education preschool services through an IEP as a student with a disability in accordance with 34 CFR §300.324 and 5 DCMR §§E-3007-3009 and no longer receive Part C services.
7. If the parent chooses the Extended IFSP Option, the parent must provide informed written consent to share eligibility information with the Part C Program, consistent with IDEA Section 635(c), to the LEA that their child will continue services through the Extended IFSP Option.

8. The LEA shall acknowledge the parent’s choice and their child’s eligibility by providing the parent prior written notice, in accordance with 34 CFR §300.503 and 5 DCMR §E-3024.1.

9. The Part C Service Coordinator shall ensure that early intervention services identified on a child’s IFSP includes an educational component that promotes school readiness and incorporates pre-literacy, language, and numeracy skills when a parent chooses the Extended IFSP Option.

10. If the parent chooses to receive special education preschool services through an IEP, the LEA shall:

   a. Develop an IEP, in accordance with 34 CFR §§300.320 through — 300.324 and 5 DCMR §§E-3007-3009;

   b. Inform the parent that once they make the choice, through written notification to the DC EIP, to terminate early intervention services through an IFSP and pursue services through an IEP, the choice to return to services through an IFSP is not available;

   c. Ensure the IEP is in effect on or before the child’s third birthday, consistent with 34 CFR §300.124 and 5 DCMR §E-3010; and

   d. Consider ESY services for children transitioning to Part B whose third birthday falls after the end of one school year and before the beginning of the next school year.

11. At any time after the Extended IFSP Option is implemented, a parent may choose to terminate participation in Part C and choose special education preschool services through an IEP.

   a. The parent must notify the DC EIP, in writing, of its choice to terminate IFSP services under the Extended IFSP Option.

   b. Once a parent makes the choice, through written notification to the DC EIP, to terminate early intervention services through an IFSP and pursue services through an IEP, the choice to return to services through an IFSP is not available.
c. The Part C program will notify the LEA of the decision of the parent to request services through an IEP.

d. Re-determining eligibility for a child whose family chooses to terminate IFSP services under the Extended IFSP Option and initiate services through an IEP, prior to the child’s 4th birthday, is not required, but an LEA may conduct additional assessments as needed to develop an IEP.

e. Within 30 days of receiving written notification from the DC EIP that the parent chose to terminate early intervention services under an IFSP, the LEA will convene an IEP team meeting to develop the IEP, determine the location of the services to be provided, and determine implementation of the IEP.

f. The date on which the parent provides written consent for the initial IEP is the implementation date; IEP services may begin on the implementation date, or as soon as possible following development of the IEP (34 CFR §300.323 (c)(2)), as agreed upon by the IEP team and stated on the IEP document.

g. At the request of the parent, the Part C service coordinator or other representatives of the Part C system, must be invited to attend the IEP team meeting referenced in 12e above.

h. Informed written consent must be obtained for the initiation of special education and related services under Part B, consistent with 5 DCMR §E-3026.

i. ESY services shall be considered in accordance with 34 CFR §300.106, 5 DCMR §E-3017 and OSSE’s ESY Policy.

j. DC EIP is required to continue to provide IFSP services under the Extended IFSP Option until the date on which services through an IEP begin.

E. Transition after age 3, Under the Extended IFSP Option

1. At least 6 months before the first day of school after the child’s 4th birthday, transition outcomes are included on the IFSP.

2. DC EIP shall inform each affected LEA of all potentially eligible children who will soon reach the age of four on a monthly basis, unless the family has opted out of providing the LEA with the child’s personally identifiable information in accordance with 5 DCMR §A-3109.
3. Within 6 months and no later than 30 days prior to the first day of school after the child’s 4th birthday, the LEA shall convene an IEP team meeting to develop the IEP, determine the location of services to be provided, and determine when IEP services will be initiated in accordance with 34 CFR §300.320 –300.324 and 5 DCMR §§E-3007–3010. Re-determining eligibility for a child transitioning at age 4 is not required.

4. At the request of the parent, the Part C service coordinator or other representatives of the Part C system must be invited to attend the IEP team meeting.

5. The LEA must obtain informed written consent for the initiation of special education and related services under Part B, consistent with DCMR §E-3026.

6. ESY services must be considered in accordance with 5 DCMR §E-3017 and OSSE’s ESY Policy.

7. The IEP is in effect no later the first day of the school year following the child’s 4th birthday. IEP services will begin on the date(s) stated on the IEP document.

8. DC EIP is required to continue to provide IFSP services under the Extended IFSP Option until the date on which services through an IEP begin or the first day of school after the child’s 4th birthday, whichever comes first.

F. “Stay Put” Requirements

1. If a parent files a due process complaint to dispute a determination that the child does not meet the criteria for identification as a child with a disability under Part B, there is no requirement to provide the child with IFSP services under the Extended IFSP Option after the child’s third birthday during the pendency of the due process hearing.

2. If a child has been identified as a child with a disability under Part B and the parents have provided consent to the provision of IFSP services under the Extended IFSP Option and then file a due process complaint to dispute a determination regarding the provision of FAPE to the child, the DC EIP is required to continue to provide the
IFSP services that the child had been receiving during the pendency of the due process hearing [20 USC § 1435(c)(2)(D)].

G. Service Delivery

1. For the Extended IFSP Option, DC EIP shall ensure that the IFSP process and document is consistent with the requirements described in 34 CFR §303.344 and 5 DCMR §A-3110, including:

   a. Child assessment provided on an ongoing basis to identify the child’s unique strengths and needs and the services appropriate to meet those needs; and

   b. Family assessment conducted with parent permission to identify the resources, priorities and concerns of the family, and the supports and services necessary to enhance the family’s capacity to meet the developmental needs of the child.

2. The educational component shall be individualized for the child, promote school readiness, and incorporate pre-literacy, language, and numeracy skills.

3. DC EIP shall ensure that the content for an educational component included on the IFSP considers:

   a. What a preschool child should know and be able to do;

   b. Strategies for supporting the individual child’s progress towards meeting expectations established as important for all preschool children including adapting and modifying early childhood curricula, and the use of evidence-based instructional practices;

   c. Family training in supporting readiness opportunities which are developmentally appropriate, recognizing the uniqueness of each family situation, and providing knowledge of expectations for school readiness; and

   d. Use of assessment practices that are aligned to the District of Columbia Early Learning Standards, as appropriate.

4. The DC EIP shall ensure that early intervention services shall be provided:

   a. To the maximum extent appropriate, in natural environments, including the home and community settings, such as, but not limited to private and public early childhood programs, Head Start, libraries, and family
and center-based child care settings, in which children without disabilities participate; and

b. In a setting other than a natural environment, such as special centers, only if early intervention cannot be achieved satisfactorily in a natural environment.

H. **Funding**

Funding for the Extended IFSP Option may include:

a. Federal Part C funds
b. Federal Part B funds (611 and 619)
c. Federal and State Medicaid funds
d. Local/State funds; and
e. Additional federal grant funds, as available.

Consistent with 5 DCMR §A-3112 and Section IV of OSSE’s Policy for Implementing Part C of IDEA, no fees will be charged to families of eligible children.

I. **Monitoring**

OSSE has the responsibility under federal law to have a system of general supervision that monitors the implementation of Part C of IDEA. This applies to all identified providers of early intervention services in the District of Columbia, whether or not they receive funding under Part C [20 U.S.C. 1435(a)(10)(A)]. OSSE’s monitoring activities for the Extended IFSP Option is outlined in the Part C Monitoring Manual.

J. **Reporting**

OSSE will report to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education the following information as part of the annual data collection required under 34 CFR §303.124: A report on the number and percentage of children with disabilities who receive services through the Extended IFSP Option (i.e. who are eligible for services under Section 619 but whose parents choose for such children to continue to receive early intervention services under Part C).